arXiv:math/0502531v1 [math.GT] 25 Feb 2005

PSEUDO-CONFORMAL QUATERNIONIC CR STRUCTURE ON $(4n+3)$-DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS

DMITRI ALEKSEEVSKY AND YOSHINOBU KAMISHIMA

Abstract. We study a geometric structure on a $(4n+3)$-dimensional smooth manifold M which is an integrable, nondegenerate codimension 3-subbundle $\mathcal{D}$ on M whose fiber supports the structure of $4n$-dimensional quaternionic vector space. It is thought of as a generalization of the quaternionic CR structure. In order to study this geometric structure on M, we single out an $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued 1-form ω locally on a neighborhood U of M such that $\mathrm{Null}\omega = \mathcal{D}|U$. We shall construct the invariants on the pair (M,ω) whose vanishing implies that M is uniformized with respect to a finite dimensional flat quaternionic CR geometry. The invariants obtained on $(4n+3)$-M have the same formula as the curvature tensor of quaternionic (indefinite) Kähler manifolds. From this viewpoint, we shall exhibit a quaternionic analogue of Chern-Moser's CR structure.

Introduction

The Weyl curvature tensor is a conformal invariant of Riemannian manifolds and the Chern-Moser curvature tensor is a CR invariant on strictly pseudo-convex CR-manifolds. A geometric significance of the vanishing of these curvature tensors is the appearance of the finite dimensional Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ with homogeneous space X. The geometry $(\mathcal{G}, X)$ is known as conformally flat geometry $(\mathrm{PO}(n+1,1), S^n)$, spherical CR-geometry $(\mathrm{PU}(n+1,1), S^{2n+1})$ respectively. Similarly, the complete simply connected quaternionic $(n+1)$-dimensional quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_{\mathbf{H}}$ with the group of isometries $\mathrm{PSp}(n+1,1)$ has the natural compactification homeomorphic to a $(4n+4)$-ball endowed with an extended smooth action of $\mathrm{PSp}(n+1,1)$. When the boundary sphere S^{4n+3} of the ball is viewed as the real hypersurface in the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{HP}^{n+1}$, the elements of $\mathrm{PSp}(n+1,1)$ act as quaternionic projective transformations of S^{4n+3}. Since the action of $\mathrm{PSp}(n+1,1)$ is transitive on S^{4n+3}, we obtain a flat (spherical) quaternionic CR geometry $(\mathrm{PSp}(n+1,1), S^{4n+3})$. (Compare [14].) Combined with the above two geometries, this exhibits *parabolic geometry* on the boundary of the compactification of rank one-symmetric space of noncompact type. (See [9],[11],[31],[15].) This observation naturally leads us to the problem of the existence of a geometric structure on a $(4n+3)$-dimensional manifold M and the geometric invariant whose vanishing implies that M is locally equivalent to the flat quaternionic CR manifold S^{4n+3}. For this purpose we shall introduce a notion of pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure $(\mathcal{D}, \{\omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3})$ on a $(4n+3)$-dimensional manifold M. First of all we recall a pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure which is discussed in [3]. Contrary to the nondegenerate CR structure, the almost complex structure on $\mathcal{D}$ is not assumed to be integrable. However, by the requirement of our structure equations, we can prove the integrability of quaternionic structure in §2.1:

Date: February 1, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55, 57S25.51M10 con-cr05.tex.

Key words and phrases. Quaternionic Kähler manifold, G-structure, integrability, uniformization, transformation group.

Theorem A. *Each almost complex structure* $\bar{J}_\alpha$ *of the quaternionic* CR *structure is integrable on the codimension* 1 *contact subbundle* $\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_\alpha$ $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$.

A $(4n+3)$-dimensional complete simply connected quaternionic pseudo-Riemannian space form $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ of type $(3+4p, 4q)$ with constant curvature 1 has been introduced in [22] $(p+q=n)$. In §3, we show that this is a model space of nondegenerate quaternionic CR structure. There exists a canonical pseudo-Riemannian metric g associated to the nondegenerate pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure. Then we see in §4 that the integrability of three almost complex structures $\{\bar{J}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ is equivalent with the condition that (M, g) is a pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure. (Compare [4].) Using the pseudo-Riemannian connection of the pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure, we can define a quaternionic CR curvature tensor (cf. §5). Based on this curvature tensor, we shall establish a curvature tensor T which is invariant under the equivalence of pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structures in §8. The $(4n+3)$-dimensional manifold $S^{3+4p,4q}$ introduced in §7 is a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifold with all vanishing pseudo-conformal QCR curvature tensor. The model $S^{3+4p,4q}$ contains $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ as an open dense subset. In §9, we shall describe an explicit formula of our tensor T (cf. Theorem 9.1).

Theorem B. *Let* $T = (T^i_{jk\ell})$ *be the fourth-order curvature tensor on a nondegenerate pseudo-conformal* QCR *manifold* M *in dimension* $4n+3$ $(n \geq 0)$. *If* $n \geq 2$, *then* $T = (T^i_{jk\ell}) \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1))$ *which has the formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} T^i_{jk\ell} = R^i_{jk\ell} - \Big\{ (g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell) + \Big[& I_{j\ell}I^i_k - I_{jk}I^\ell_i + 2I^i_j I_{k\ell} \\ & + J_{j\ell}J^i_k - J_{jk}J^\ell_i + 2J^i_j J_{k\ell} + K_{j\ell}K^i_k - K_{jk}K^i_\ell + 2K^i_j K_{k\ell} \Big] \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

When $n = 1$, $T = (W^i_{jk\ell}) \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathrm{SO}(4))$ *which has the same formula as the Weyl conformal curvature tensor. When* $n = 0$, *there exists the fourth-order curvature tensor* TW *on* M *which has the same formula as the Weyl-Schouten tensor.*

We shall prove that the vanishing of curvature tensor T on a nondegenerate pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifold M of type $(3+4p, 4q)$ gives rise to a *uniformization* with respect to the flat (spherical) pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR geometry in §8.1, see Theorem 9.3. (Compare [21] for uniformization in general.)

Theorem C.

- (i) *If* M *is a* $(4n+3)$*-dimensional nondegenerate pseudo-conformal quaternionic* CR *manifold of type* $(3+4p, 4q)$ $(p+q = n \geq 1)$ *whose curvature tensor* T *vanishes, then* M *is uniformized over* $S^{3+4p,4q}$ *with respect to the group* $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1, q+1)$.
- (ii) *If* M *is a* 3*-dimensional pseudo-conformal quaternionic* CR *manifold whose curvature tensor* TW *vanishes, then* M *is conformally flat* (*i.e. locally modelled on* S^3 *with respect to the group* $\mathrm{PSp}(1,1)$).

In particular, if $p = n, q = 0$, then $S^{3+4n,0} = S^{4n+3}$ is the positive-definite flat (spherical) quaternionic CR and our pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR geometry is the spherical quaternionic CR geometry $(\mathrm{PSp}(n+1,1), S^{4n+3})$ as explained in the beginning of Introduction. By Theorem C, if M is a flat (spherical) pseudo-conformal positive definite quaternionic CR manifold, then there exists a developing map $\mathrm{dev} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow S^{4n+3} = S^{4n+3,0}$ from the universal covering space $\tilde{M}$. It is an immersion preserving the pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure such that $\mathrm{dev}^*\,\omega_0 = \lambda\cdot\tilde{\omega}\cdot\bar{\lambda}$ where $\tilde{\omega}$ is the lift of ω to $\tilde{M}$. As the global case, positive-definite pseudo-conformal quaternionic

CR manifolds contain the class of 3-Sasakian manifolds. (Refer to [5],[7],[29],[30] for Sasakian structure.) However, we emphasize that the converse is not true. We shall recall two typical classes of compact (spherical) pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifolds but not Sasakian 3-manifolds [14]; one is a quaternionic Heisenberg manifold $\mathcal{M}/\Gamma$. Some finite cover of $\mathcal{M}/\Gamma$ is a Heisenberg nilmanifold which is a principal 3-torus bundle over the flat quaternionic n-torus $T^n_{\mathbb{H}}$. (Compare §7.3.) Another manifold is a pseudo-Riemannian standard space form $\Sigma^{3,4n}_{\mathbb{H}}/\Gamma$ of constant negative curvature of type $(4n, 3)$. It is a compact quotient of the homogeneous space $\Sigma^{3,4n}_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathrm{Sp}(1,n)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$. Some finite cover of $\Sigma^{3,4n}_{\mathbb{H}}/\Gamma$ is a principal S^3-bundle over the quaternionic hyperbolic space form $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{H}}/\Gamma^*$. Those manifolds are not positive-definite compact 3-Sasakian manifolds. (Compare [14], [16] more generally.)

When a geometric structure is either contact structure or complex contact structure, it is known that the first Stiefel-Whitney class or the first Chern class is the obstruction to the existence of global 1-forms representing their strutures respectively. As a concluding remark to the pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure but not necessarily pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure, we verify that the obstruction relates to the first Pontrjagin class $p_1(M)$ of a $(4n+3)$-dimensional pseudo-conformal quaternionic manifold M $(n \geq 1)$. In §10, we prove that the following relation of the first Pontrjagin classes. (See Theorem 10.4.)

Theorem D. *Let $(M, \mathcal{D})$ be a $(4n+3)$-dimensional pseudo-conformal quaternionic manifold. Then the first Pontrjagin classes of M and the bundle $L = TM/\mathcal{D}$ has the relation that $2p_1(M) = (n+2)p_1(L)$. Moreover, if M is simply connected, then the following are equivalent.*

(1) $2p_1(M) = 0$. *In particular, the first rational Pontrjagin class vanishes.*

(2) *There exists a global* Im$\mathbb{H}$*-valued* 1*-form ω on M which represents a pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure $\mathcal{D}$. In particular, there exists a hypercomplex structure $\{I, J, K\}$ on $\mathcal{D}$.*

1. Pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure

When $\mathbb{H}$ denotes the field of quaternions, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ of Sp(1) is identified with $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}\boldsymbol{i} + \mathbb{R}\boldsymbol{j} + \mathbb{R}\boldsymbol{k}$. Let M be a $(4n+3)$-dimensional smooth manifold M. A $4n$-dimensional orientable subbundle $\mathcal{D}$ equipped with a quaternionic structure Q is called a *pseudo-conformal quaternionic* structure on M if it satisfies that

(i) $\mathcal{D} \cup [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}] = TM$.

(ii) The 3-dimensional quotient bundle $TM/\mathcal{D}$ at any point is isomorphic to the Lie algebra Im$\mathbb{H}$.

(iii) There exists a Im$\mathbb{H}$-valued 1-form $\omega = \omega_1 \boldsymbol{i} + \omega_2 \boldsymbol{j} + \omega_3 \boldsymbol{k}$ locally defined on a neighborhood of M such that

(1.1) $$\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Null}\,\omega = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^{3} \mathrm{Null}\,\omega_\alpha \text{ and } d\omega_\alpha|\mathcal{D} \text{ is nondegenerate.}$$

Here each ω_α is a real valued 1-form $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$.

(iv) The endomorphism $J_\gamma = (d\omega_\beta|\mathcal{D})^{-1} \circ (d\omega_\alpha|\mathcal{D}) : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ constitutes the quaternionic structure Q on $\mathcal{D}$:

$${J_\gamma}^2 = -1,\ J_\alpha J_\beta = J_\gamma = -J_\beta J_\alpha,\ (\gamma = 1, 2, 3) \textit{ etc.}$$

We note the following from the condition (*iv*).

Lemma 1.1. *Put* $\sigma_\alpha = (d\omega_\alpha|\mathcal{D})$ *on* $\mathcal{D}$. *There is the following equality:*

$$\sigma_1(J_1X, Y) = \sigma_2(J_2X, Y) = \sigma_3(J_3X, Y) \ (\forall\ X, Y \in \mathcal{D}).$$

Moreover, the form

$$g^{\mathcal{D}} = \sigma_\alpha \circ J_\alpha \tag{1.2}$$

is a nondegenerate Q-invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{D}$ $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$, *i.e.* $g^{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y) = g^{\mathcal{D}}(J_\alpha X, J_\alpha Y)$, $g^{\mathcal{D}}(X, J_\alpha Y) = \sigma_\alpha(X, Y)$, *etc.*, $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$.

Proof. By (*iv*), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\alpha(J_\alpha X, Y) &= \sigma_\alpha(J_\beta(J_\gamma X), Y) = \sigma_\gamma(J_\gamma X, Y)\\ &= \sigma_\gamma(J_\alpha(J_\beta X), Y) = \sigma_\beta(J_\beta X, Y).\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Put $g^{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y) = \sigma_\alpha(J_\alpha X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$, which is nondegenerate by (*iii*). As $-J_\beta = \sigma_\gamma^{-1} \circ \sigma_\alpha$ by (*iv*), calculate that $g^{\mathcal{D}}(Y, X) = -\sigma_\alpha(X, J_\alpha Y) = \sigma_\gamma(J_\beta X, J_\alpha Y) = -\sigma_\beta(Y, J_\beta X) = g^{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)$. It folows that $g^{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y) = \sigma_\alpha(J_\alpha X, Y) = \sigma_\alpha(J_\alpha(J_\alpha Y), J_\alpha X) = g^{\mathcal{D}}(J_\alpha Y, J_\alpha X)$. $\square$

In general, there is no canonical choice of ω which annihilates $\mathcal{D}$. The fiber of the quotient bundle $TM/\mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$ by ω on a neighborhood U by (*ii*). The coordinate change of the fiber $\mathbb{H}$ is described as $v \rightarrow \lambda \cdot v \cdot \mu$ for some nonzero scalars $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{H}$. If ω' is another 1-form such that $\mathrm{Null}\,\omega' = \mathcal{D}$ on a neighborhood U', then it follows that $\omega' = \lambda \cdot \omega \cdot \mu$ for some functions λ, μ locally defined on $U \cap U'$. This can be rewritten as $\omega' = u \cdot a \cdot \omega \cdot b$ where a, b are functions with valued in $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ and u is a positive function. Since $\bar{\omega}' = -\omega'$, it follows that $a \cdot \omega \cdot b = \bar{b} \cdot \omega \cdot \bar{a}$, i.e. $(\bar{b}a) \cdot \omega \cdot (\bar{b}a) = \omega$. As $\omega : T(U \cap U') \rightarrow \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$ is surjective, $\bar{b}a$ centralizes $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$ so that $\bar{b}a \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $b = \pm\bar{a}$. As we may assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is orientable, ω' is uniquely determined by

$$\omega' = u \cdot a \cdot \omega \cdot \bar{a} \text{ for some functions } a \in \mathrm{Sp}(1), u > 0 \text{ on } U \cap U'. \tag{1.4}$$

We must show that the definition of (1.1) does not depend on the choice of any form ω' of (1.4) locally conjugate to ω, i.e. it satisfies (*iii*), (*iv*). Differentiate the equation (1.4) which yields $d\omega' = u \cdot a \cdot d\omega \cdot \bar{a}$ on $\mathcal{D}|U \cap U'$. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ be the matrix function determined by

$$\mathrm{Ad}_a \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} \bar{a} = A \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.5}$$

When ω is replaced by ω', a new quaternionic structure on $\mathcal{D}$ is obtained as

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_1' \\ J_2' \\ J_3' \end{pmatrix} = {}^tA \begin{pmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \\ J_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.6}$$

Note from (1.4) that

$$({\omega'}_1, {\omega'}_2, {\omega'}_3) = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)u \cdot A = u(\sum_{\beta=1}^{3} a_{\beta 1}\omega_\beta, \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} a_{\beta 2}\omega_\beta, \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} a_{\beta 3}\omega_\beta). \tag{1.7}$$

Differentiate (1.7) and restricting to $\mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d{\omega'}_\alpha(X,Y) &= u\sum_\beta a_{\beta\alpha}d\omega_\beta(X,Y) \text{ (Lemma 1.1)} \\ &= -u(a_{1\alpha}g^{\mathcal{D}}(J_1X,Y)+a_{2\alpha}g^{\mathcal{D}}(J_2X,Y)+a_{3\alpha}g^{\mathcal{D}}(J_3X,Y)) \\ &= -ug^{\mathcal{D}}((a_{1\alpha}J_1+a_{2\alpha}J_2+a_{3\alpha}J_3)X,Y) = -ug^{\mathcal{D}}({J'}_\alpha X,Y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$d{\omega'}_\alpha({J'}_\alpha X,Y) = ug^{\mathcal{D}}(X,Y) \ (\alpha=1,2,3). \tag{1.8}$$

In particular, $d\omega'_\alpha|\mathcal{D}$ is nondegenerate, proving (*iii*). Put $\sigma'_\alpha = d\omega'_\alpha|\mathcal{D}$. As in (*iv*), the endomorphism is defined by the rule: $I'_\gamma = (\sigma'_\beta|\mathcal{D})^{-1}\circ(\sigma'_\alpha|\mathcal{D})$, i.e. $\sigma'_\beta(I'_\gamma X,Y) = \sigma'_\alpha(X,Y)$ $(\forall X,Y\in\mathcal{D}.)$. Then we show that $\{I'_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ coincides with $\{J'_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ on $\mathcal{D}$. For this, as $\sigma'_\alpha(X,Y) = -ug^{\mathcal{D}}(J'_\alpha X,Y)$ by (1.8), it follows that $\sigma'_\beta(I'_\gamma X,Y) = -ug^{\mathcal{D}}(J'_\beta(I'_\gamma X),Y)$ and the above equality implies that $J'_\beta(I'_\gamma X) = J'_\alpha X$ $(\forall X\in\mathcal{D})$. Hence, $I'_\gamma = -J'_\beta J'_\alpha = J'_\gamma$. This proves (*iv*).

The nondegenerate bilinear form $g^{\mathcal{D}}$ is locally defined on $\mathcal{D}|U$ of signature $(4p,4q)$ with $4p$-times positive sign and $4q$-times negative sign such that $p+q=n$. As above put ${g'}^{\mathcal{D}}(X,Y) = d{\omega'}_\alpha({J'}_\alpha X,Y)$ $(X,Y\in\mathcal{D})$. We have

Corollary 1.2. *If* $\omega' = u\bar{a}\cdot\omega\cdot a$ *on* $U\cap U'$, *then*

$${g'}^{\mathcal{D}} = u\cdot g^{\mathcal{D}}.$$

In particular, the signature (p,q) *is constant on* $U\cap U'$ *(and hence everywhere on* M*) under the change* $\omega' = u\bar{a}\cdot\omega\cdot a$.

Consider locally the structure equation:

$$\rho_\alpha = d\omega_\alpha + 2\omega_\beta\wedge\omega_\gamma \tag{1.9}$$

where $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\sim(1,2,3)$ up to cyclic permutation. If the skew symmetric 2-form ρ_α satisfies that

$$\mathrm{Null}\,\rho_1 = \mathrm{Null}\,\rho_2 = \mathrm{Null}\,\rho_3, \tag{1.10}$$

then the pair (ω,Q) is a *local quaternionic CR structure* on M. See [6], [4]. If the quaternionic CR structure is globally defined on M, i.e. there exists a $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$-valued 1-form ω defined entirely on M, then it coincides with the pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure of M, see §4.1.

We introduce the following definition due to the manner of Libermann [25].

Definition 1.3. *The pair* $(\mathcal{D},Q)$ *on* M *is said to be a* pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure *if there exists locally a* 1*-form* η *with* $\mathrm{Null}\,\eta = \mathcal{D}$ *on a neighborhood* U *of* M *such that* η *is conjugate to a quaternionic* CR *structure on* U. *Namely there exists a* $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$*-valued* 1*-form* ω *representing the quaternionic* CR *structure of* U *for which* $\eta = \lambda\cdot\omega\cdot\bar\lambda$ *where* $\lambda : U\to\mathbb{H}$ *is a function and* $\bar\lambda$ *is the conjugate of the quaternion.*

2. QUATERNIONIC CR STRUCTURE

Suppose that ω is a quaternionic CR structure on a neighborhood of M. Let $\rho_\alpha = d\omega_\alpha + 2\omega_\beta\wedge\omega_\gamma$ be as in (1.9). Put $V = \mathrm{Null}\,\rho_\alpha$ $(\alpha=1,2,3)$ (cf. (1.10)). Since $\dim\mathcal{D} = 4n$, let $\{v_1,v_2,v_3\}$ be a basis of V. Put $\omega_i(v_j) = a_{ij}$. As $\omega_1\wedge\omega_2\wedge\omega_3|V\neq 0$, the 3×3-matrix (a_{ij}) is nonsingular. Put $b_{ij} = {}^t(a_{ij})^{-1}$ and $\xi_j = \sum b_{jk}v_k$. Then $\omega_\alpha(\xi_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and locally,

$$V = \{\xi_\alpha, \alpha=1,2,3\} \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Lie derivative. Then, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$ $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$.*

Proof. For $X \in \mathcal{D}$, $\omega_\beta(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(X)) = \omega_\beta([\xi_\alpha, X])$. As

$$0 = \rho_\beta(\xi_\alpha, X) = d\omega_\beta(\xi_\alpha, X) + 2\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha(\xi_\alpha, X) = \frac{1}{2}(-\omega_\beta([\xi_\alpha, X]),$$

we have $\omega_\beta([\xi_\alpha, X]) = 0$ for $\beta = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(X) \in \mathcal{D} = \overset{3}{\underset{\beta=1}{\cap}} \text{Null } \omega_\beta$.

$\square$

We prove also that $\mathcal{L}_\xi V = V$ for $\xi \in V$.

Lemma 2.2. *The distribution V is integrable. The vector fields ξ_α determined by (2.1) generates the Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, i.e. $[\xi_\alpha, \xi_\beta] = 2\xi_\gamma$. $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \sim (1, 2, 3)$.*

Proof. By (2.1), note that

$$V = \{\xi \in TM \mid \rho_1(\xi, v) = \rho_2(\xi, v) = \rho_3(\xi, v) = 0, \ \forall v \in TM\} = \{\xi_\alpha \ ; \alpha = 1, 2, 3\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $0 = \rho_\alpha(\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(-\omega_\alpha([\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma]) + 2)$, it follows that $[\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma] - 2\xi_\alpha \in \text{Null } \omega_\alpha$. Applying $\rho_\beta(\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(-\omega_\beta([\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma]) + 0) = 0$, it yields also that $[\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma] - 2\xi_\alpha \in \text{Null } \omega_\beta$. Similarly as $\rho_\gamma(\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma) = 0$, we obtain $[\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma] - 2\xi_\alpha \in \overset{3}{\underset{\beta=1}{\cap}} \text{Null } \omega_\beta = \mathcal{D}$ for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$. As $\rho_\alpha([\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma] - 2\xi_\alpha, v) = \rho_\alpha([\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma], v)$ for arbitrary $v \in \mathcal{D}$, By the definition of ρ_α, calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_\alpha([\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma], v) &= -\frac{1}{2}\omega_\beta([[\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma], v]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\omega_\beta([[\xi_\gamma, v], \xi_\beta]) + \omega_\beta([[\xi_\beta, v], \xi_\gamma])) \text{ (by Jacobi identity)} \\ &= 0 \text{ (by Lemma 2.1).}\end{aligned}$$

Since ρ_α is nondegenerate on $\mathcal{D}$ by (iii), $[\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma] = 2\xi_\alpha$ $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$. Hence, such a Lie algebra V is locally isomorphic to the Lie algebra of SO(3). $\square$

We collect the properties of $\omega_\alpha, \rho_\alpha, J_\alpha, g^{\mathcal{D}}$. (Compare [4].)

Lemma 2.3. *Up to cyclic permutation of $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \sim (1, 2, 3)$, the following properties hold.*

(1) $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\beta = \omega_\gamma = -\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\beta}\omega_\alpha$.
(2) $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\rho_\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\rho_\beta = \rho_\gamma = -\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\beta}\rho_\alpha$.
(3) $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}J_\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}J_\beta = J_\gamma = -\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\beta}J_\alpha$.
(4) $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}g^{\mathcal{D}} = 0$.

Proof. (1). First note that $\iota_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\alpha(x) = \omega_\alpha(\xi_\alpha) = 1$ $(x \in M)$, $\iota_{\xi_\alpha}(\omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma)(X) = \omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma(\xi_\alpha, X) = 0$ $(\alpha \neq \beta, \gamma)$, and $\iota_{\xi_\alpha}\rho_\alpha(X) = \rho_\alpha(\xi_\alpha, X) = 0$ by (3.9).

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\alpha &= (d\iota_{\xi_\alpha} + \iota_{\xi_\alpha}d)\omega_\alpha = \iota_{\xi_\alpha}d\omega_\alpha = \iota_{\xi_\alpha}(-2\omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma + \rho_\alpha) \text{ by (1.9)} \\ &= -2\iota_{\xi_\alpha}(\omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma) + \iota_{\xi_\alpha}\rho_\alpha = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Next,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\beta = \iota_{\xi_\alpha}d\omega_\beta = \iota_{\xi_\alpha}(-2\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha + \rho_\beta) = -2\iota_{\xi_\alpha}(\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha),$$

while $-2\iota_{\xi_\alpha}(\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha)(v) = 0$ for $v \ \notin \text{Null } \omega_\gamma$ and $-2\iota_{\xi_\alpha}(\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha)(\xi_\gamma) = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\beta = \omega_\gamma$.

(2).

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\rho_\beta &= \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(d\omega_\beta + 2\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha) \\
&= (d\iota_{\xi_\alpha} + \iota_{\xi_\alpha} d)d\omega_\beta + 2\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha) \\
&= d\iota_{\xi_\alpha} d\omega_\beta + 2\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha + 2\omega_\gamma \wedge \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\alpha \\
&= d(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} - d\iota_{\xi_\alpha})\omega_\beta + 2\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha \quad \text{(by (1))} \\
&= d(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\beta) - 2\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\gamma}\omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha = d\omega_\gamma - 2\omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\alpha \\
&= d\omega_\gamma + 2\omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\beta = \rho_\gamma.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\rho_\alpha &= \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(d\omega_\alpha + 2\omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma) \\
&= d\iota_{\xi_\alpha} d\omega_\alpha + 2\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma + 2\omega_\beta \wedge \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\gamma \\
&= d(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} - d\iota_{\xi_\alpha})\omega_\alpha + 2\omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma + 2\omega_\beta \wedge (-\omega_\beta) \\
&= d\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\omega_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{(by (1))}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

(3). As $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\rho_\alpha = 0$ by property (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\rho_\alpha)(J_\beta X, Y) \\
&= \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(\sigma_\alpha(J_\beta X, Y)) - \sigma_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(J_\beta X), Y) - \sigma_\alpha(J_\beta X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $J_\beta = \sigma_\alpha^{-1} \circ \sigma_\gamma$ by Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_\alpha((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} J_\beta)X, Y) = \sigma_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(J_\beta X), Y) - \sigma_\alpha(J_\beta \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(X), Y) \\
&= \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(\sigma_\alpha(J_\beta X, Y)) - \sigma_\alpha(J_\beta X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} Y) - \sigma_\alpha(J_\beta \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} X, Y) \\
&= (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\sigma_\gamma)(X, Y) = -\sigma_\beta(X, Y) \quad \text{(by property (2))} \\
&= \sigma_\alpha(J_\gamma X, Y)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

As σ_α is nondegenerate on $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} J_\beta = J_\gamma$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_\gamma((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} J_\alpha)X, Y) = \sigma_\gamma(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(J_\alpha X), Y) - \sigma_\gamma(J_\alpha \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(X), Y) \\
&= -(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\sigma_\gamma)(J_\alpha X, Y) + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(\sigma_\gamma(J_\alpha X, Y)) \\
&\ \ - \sigma_\gamma(J_\alpha X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} Y) - \sigma_\gamma(J_\alpha \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} X, Y) \\
&= \sigma_\beta(J_\alpha X, Y) + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}(\sigma_\beta(X, Y)) - \sigma_\beta(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} Y) - \sigma_\beta(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} X, Y) \\
&= \sigma_\beta(J_\alpha X, Y) + (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\sigma_\beta)(X, Y) \\
&= -\sigma_\gamma(X, Y) + \sigma_\gamma(X, Y) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} J_\alpha = 0$.

(4). Recall from Lemma 1.1 that $g^{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y) = \sigma_\alpha(J_\alpha X, Y) = \rho_\alpha(J_\alpha X, Y)$ $(X, Y \in \mathcal{D})$ for each α. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} g^{\mathcal{D}})(X, Y) &= \xi_\alpha(g^{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)) - g^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} X, Y) - g^{\mathcal{D}}(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} Y) \\
&= \xi_\alpha(\rho_\beta(J_\beta X, Y)) - \rho_\beta(J_\beta \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} X, Y) - \rho_\beta(J_\beta X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} Y).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\rho_\beta = \rho_\gamma$ by property (2) and so

$$\xi_\alpha(\rho_\beta(J_\beta X, Y)) = \rho_\beta(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} J_\beta X, Y) + \rho_\beta(J_\beta X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} Y) + \rho_\gamma(J_\beta X, Y).$$

Substitute this into the equation (2.8).

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} g^{\mathcal{D}})(X,Y) &= \rho_\beta(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} J_\beta X, Y) + \rho_\beta(J_\beta X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} Y)\\ &\quad + \rho_\gamma(J_\beta X, Y) - \rho_\beta(J_\beta \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} X, Y) - \rho_\beta(J_\beta X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} Y)\\ &= \rho_\beta((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} J_\beta)X, Y) + \rho_\gamma(J_\beta X, Y) \;\; \text{(by property (3))}\\ &= \rho_\beta(J_\gamma X, Y) + \rho_\gamma(J_\beta X, Y) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

hence, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha} g^{\mathcal{D}} = 0$.

□

2.1. **Three CR structures.** Let $(\{\omega_\alpha\}, \{J_\alpha\}, \{\xi_\alpha\};\ \alpha = 1,2,3)$ be a nondegenerate quaternionic CR structure on $U \subset M$ such that $\mathcal{D}|U = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^{3} \mathrm{Null}\, \omega_\alpha$. We can extend the almost complex structure J_α to an almost complex structure $\bar{J}_\alpha$ on $\mathrm{Null}\, \omega_\alpha = \mathcal{D} \oplus \{\xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma\}$ by setting:

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{J}_\alpha|\mathcal{D} = J_\alpha,\\ &\bar{J}_\alpha \xi_\beta = \xi_\gamma, \bar{J}_\alpha \xi_\gamma = -\xi_\beta.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

(α, β, γ) is a cyclic permutation of $(1,2,3)$. First of all, note the following formula (cf. [19]):

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\iota_Y d\omega_a) = \iota_{(\mathcal{L}_X Y)} d\omega_a + \iota_Y \mathcal{L}_X d\omega_a = \iota_{[X,Y]} d\omega_a + \iota_Y \mathcal{L}_X d\omega_a \quad (\forall X, Y \in TU). \tag{2.10}$$

Secondly, we remark the following.

Lemma 2.4. *For $X \in \mathcal{D}$,*

$$\iota_X d\omega_a = \iota_{J_c X} d\omega_b \quad (a,b,c) \sim (1,2,3).$$

Proof. Let $TU = \mathcal{D} \oplus V$ where $V = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$. If $X \in \mathcal{D}$, then $d\omega_a(X, \xi) = 0$ for $\forall\ \xi \in V$. As $d\omega_b(J_c X, \xi) = 0$ similarly, it follows that $\iota_X d\omega_a = \iota_{J_c X} d\omega_b = 0$ on V. If $Y \in \mathcal{D}$, calculate

$$\begin{aligned}d\omega_a(X,Y) &= -d\omega_a(J_a(J_a X), Y) = -d\omega_b(J_b(J_a X), Y) \text{ (from Lemma 1.1)}\\ &= d\omega_b(J_c X, Y), \text{ hence } \iota_X d\omega_a = \iota_{J_c X} d\omega_b \text{ on } U.\end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, we have

$$\iota_X d\omega_2 = \iota_{J_1 X} d\omega_3 \text{ for } \forall\ X \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{2.11}$$

There is the decomposition with respect to the almost complex structure $\bar{J}_1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Null}\ \omega_1 \otimes \mathbb{C} &= \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathbb{C} \oplus \{\xi_2, \xi_3\} \otimes \mathbb{C}\\ &= T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where $T^{1,0} = \mathcal{D}^{1,0} \oplus \{\xi_2 - \boldsymbol{i}\xi_3\}$. We shall observe that the same formula as in Lemma 6.8 of Hitchin [12] can be also obtained for $\mathcal{D}$. (We found Lemma 6.8 when we saw a key lemma to the Kashiwada's theorem [17].)

Lemma 2.5. *If $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$, then*

$$\iota_{[X,Y]} d\omega_2 = \boldsymbol{i}\iota_{[X,Y]} d\omega_3.$$

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$ so that $J_1X = \boldsymbol{i}X$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X d\omega_2 &= (d\iota_X + \iota_X d)d\omega_2 = d(\iota_X d\omega_2) = d(\iota_{J_1X}d\omega_3) \text{ (by (2.11))}\\ &= \boldsymbol{i}(d\iota_X)d\omega_3 = \boldsymbol{i}(\mathcal{L}_X - \iota_X d)d\omega_3 = \boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{L}_X d\omega_3.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Applying $Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$ to the equation (2.11) and using the formula (2.10) (extended to a $\mathbb{C}$-valued one),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X(\iota_Y d\omega_2) &= \mathcal{L}_X(\iota_{J_1Y}d\omega_3) = \boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{L}_X(\iota_Y d\omega_3) \text{ (from (2.11))}\\ &= \boldsymbol{i}\iota_{[X,Y]}d\omega_3 + \iota_Y\boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{L}_X d\omega_3\\ &= \boldsymbol{i}\iota_{[X,Y]}d\omega_3 + \iota_Y\mathcal{L}_X d\omega_2 \text{ (by (2.13)).}\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Compared this with the equality (2.10) to $\omega_a = \omega_2$, we obtain $\boldsymbol{i}\iota_{[X,Y]}d\omega_3 = \iota_{[X,Y]}d\omega_2$.

$\square$

We prove the following equation (which is used to show the existence of a complex contact structure on the quotient of the quaternionic CR manifold by S^1 [2].)

Proposition 2.6. *For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$, there exsist $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$ such that*

$$[X,Y] = a(\xi_2 - \boldsymbol{i}\xi_3) + u.$$

Conversely, given an arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we can choose such $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$ and some $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$.

Proof. As $g(J_\alpha\cdot, J_\alpha\cdot) = g(\cdot,\cdot)$ (cf. Lemma 1.1), we note that $d\omega_1|(\mathcal{D}^{1,0},\mathcal{D}^{0,1})$, $d\omega_2|(\mathcal{D}^{1,0},\mathcal{D}^{1,0})$, $d\omega_3|(\mathcal{D}^{1,0},\mathcal{D}^{1,0})$ are nondegenerate. Given $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$, put $d\omega_2(X,Y) = g(X, J_2Y) = -\dfrac{1}{2}a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. (Note that conversely for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we can choose $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$ such that $d\omega_2(X,Y) = g(X,J_2Y) = -\dfrac{1}{2}a$.) Then $\omega_2([X,Y]) = a$ so that there is an element $v \in \operatorname{Null}\omega_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that $[X,Y] - a\cdot\xi_2 = v$. As $d\omega_3(X,Y) = g(X, J_1J_2Y) = -g(X, J_2(J_1Y)) = -\boldsymbol{i}g(X,J_2Y) = -\dfrac{\boldsymbol{i}}{2}a$, it follows that $\omega_3([X,Y]) = -\boldsymbol{i}a$. Since $\omega_3(v) = \omega_3([X,Y] - \xi_2) = \omega_3([X,Y])$, $v = -\boldsymbol{i}a\cdot\xi_3 + u$ for some $u \in \operatorname{Null}\omega_3 \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Then we have that $[X,Y] = a(\xi_2 - \boldsymbol{i}\xi_3) + u$. Obviously, $\omega_2(u) = 0$. As $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$, $\omega_1(u) = \omega_1([X,Y]) = -2d\omega_1(X,Y) = 0$ for which $u \in \mathcal{D}\otimes\mathbb{C}$. We now prove that $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$. First we note that

$$\iota_{[X,Y]}d\omega_2 = a\iota_{(\xi_2 - \boldsymbol{i}\xi_3)}d\omega_2 + \iota_u d\omega_2. \tag{2.15}$$

As ξ_2 (respectively ξ_3) is characteristic for ω_2 (respectively ω_3) from Lemma 2.3, $\iota_{\xi_2}d\omega_2 = 0$ (respectively $\iota_{\xi_3}d\omega_3 = 0$). Using (3.9), the function satisfies $d\iota_{\xi_3}\omega_2 = 0$ (respectively $d\iota_{\xi_2}\omega_3 = 0$). It follows that $\iota_{\xi_3}d\omega_2 = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3} - d\iota_{\xi_3})\omega_2 = \mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}\omega_2 = -\omega_1$. Then $\iota_{(\xi_2 - \boldsymbol{i}\xi_3)}d\omega_2 = (\iota_{\xi_2}d\omega_2 - \boldsymbol{i}\iota_{\xi_3}d\omega_2) = \boldsymbol{i}\omega_1$ so (2.15) becomes

$$\iota_{[X,Y]}d\omega_2 = a\boldsymbol{i}\omega_1 + \iota_u d\omega_2. \tag{2.16}$$

As $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}\omega_3 = \omega_1$, it follows $\iota_{\xi_2}d\omega_3 = \omega_1$. Similarly

$$\iota_{[X,Y]}d\omega_3 = a\iota_{(\xi_2 - \boldsymbol{i}\xi_3)}d\omega_3 + \iota_u d\omega_3 = a\omega_1 + \iota_u d\omega_3. \tag{2.17}$$

Substitute (2.16), (2.17) into the equlaity $\iota_{[X,Y]}d\omega_2 = \boldsymbol{i}\iota_{[X,Y]}d\omega_3$ of Lemma 2.5, which concludes that

$$\iota_u d\omega_2 = \boldsymbol{i}\iota_u d\omega_3. \tag{2.18}$$

Since $d\omega_2(u,X) = d\omega_3(J_1u, X)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}\otimes\mathbb{C}$, (2.18) implies that

$$d\omega_3(J_1u, X) = \iota_u d\omega_2(X) = d\omega_3(\boldsymbol{i}u, X). \tag{2.19}$$

As $d\omega_3$ is nondegenerate on $\mathcal{D}$ (and so is on $\mathcal{D}\otimes\mathbb{C}$), we obtain that $J_1u=\boldsymbol{i}u$. Hence, $u\in\mathcal{D}^{1,0}$.

□

By definition a *CR* structure on an odd dimensional manifold consists of the pair $(\mathrm{Null}\,\omega, J)$ where ω is a contact structure and J is a complex structure on the contact subbundle $\mathrm{Null}\,\omega$ (i.e. J is integrable). In addition, the characteristic vector field ξ for ω is said to be a *characteristic CR-vector field* if $\mathcal{L}_\xi J=0$. Consider $(\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_a,\bar{J}_a)$ on U $(a=1,2,3)$. By Lemma 2.3, each ξ_a is a characteristic vector field for ω_a on U. From (3) of Lemma 2.3, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}J_\alpha=0$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_a}\bar{J}_a=0$.

Theorem 2.7. *Each $\bar{J}_\alpha$ is integrable on* $\mathrm{Null}\omega_\alpha$. *As a consequence, a nondegenerate quaternionic CR structure $\{\omega_\alpha,J_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ on a neighborhood U of M^{4n+3} induces three nondegenerate CR structures* $(\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_\alpha,\bar{J}_\alpha)$ *equipped with characteristic CR-vector field ξ_α for each ω_α $(\alpha=1,2,3)$. In fact, $\omega_\alpha(\xi_\alpha)=1$ and $d\omega_\alpha(\xi_\alpha,X)=0$* $(\forall\ X\in TM)$ $(\alpha=1,2,3)$.

Proof. Consider the case for $(\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_1,\bar{J}_1)$. Let $\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_1\otimes\mathbb{C}=T^{1,0}\oplus T^{0,1}$ where $T^{1,0}=\mathcal{D}^{1,0}\oplus\{\xi_2-\boldsymbol{i}\xi_3\}$. By Proposition 2.6, if $X,Y\in\mathcal{D}^{1,0}$, then there exist elements $a\in\mathbb{R}$ and $u\in\mathcal{D}^{1,0}$ such that $[X,Y]=a(\xi_2-\boldsymbol{i}\xi_3)+u$. By definition,

$$\bar{J}_1[X,Y]=a\bar{J}_1(\xi_2-\boldsymbol{i}\xi_3)+J_1u=a\boldsymbol{i}(\xi_2-\boldsymbol{i}\xi_3)+\boldsymbol{i}u=\boldsymbol{i}[X,Y], \tag{2.20}$$

it follows $[X,Y]\in T^{1,0}$. It suffices to show that the element $[\xi_2-\boldsymbol{i}\xi_3,v]\in T^{1,0}$ for $v\in\mathcal{D}^{1,0}$. As $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}J_1=-J_3$ and $-J_3v=(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}J_1)v=\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}(J_1v)-J_1(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}v)$,

$$J_1(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}v)=J_3v+\boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}v. \tag{2.21}$$

Note that $[\xi_2-\boldsymbol{i}\xi_3,v]=\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}v-\boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}v\in\mathcal{D}\otimes\mathbb{C}$ on which $\bar{J}_a=J_a$. Then $\bar{J}_1[\xi_2-\boldsymbol{i}\xi_3,v]=J_1(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}v)-\boldsymbol{i}J_1(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}v)$. Moreover, as $J_2v=(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}J_1)v=\boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}(v)-J_1(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}v)$ and $J_2v=J_3J_1v=\boldsymbol{i}J_3v$, it follows that $J_1(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}v)=-\boldsymbol{i}J_3v+\boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}v$. Using this equality and (2.21), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{J}_1[\xi_2-\boldsymbol{i}\xi_3,v]&=J_1(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}v)-\boldsymbol{i}J_1(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}v)=\boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}v+\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}v\\&=\boldsymbol{i}(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}v-\boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}v)=\boldsymbol{i}[\xi_2-\boldsymbol{i}\xi_3,v].\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Therefore, $[T^{1,0},T^{1,0}]\subset T^{1,0}$ so that $\bar{J}_1$ is a complex structure on $\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_1$, i.e. $(\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_1,\bar{J}_1)$ is a *CR* structure on U. The same holds for $(\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_b,\bar{J}_b)$ $(b=2,3)$.

□

3. MODEL OF QCR SPACE FORMS WITH TYPE $(4p+3,4q)$

Suppose that $p+q=n$. Let $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ be the quaternionc number space in quaternionic dimension $n+1$ with nondegenerate quaternionic Hermitian form

$$\langle x,y\rangle=\bar{x}_1y_1+\cdots+\bar{x}_{p+1}y_{p+1}-\bar{x}_{p+2}y_{p+2}-\cdots-\bar{x}_{n+1}y_{n+1}. \tag{3.1}$$

If we denote $\mathrm{Re}\langle x,y\rangle$ the real part of $\langle x,y\rangle$, then it is noted that $\mathrm{Re}\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. In the quaternion case, the group of all invertible matrices $\mathrm{GL}(n+1,\mathbb{H})$ is acting from the left and $\mathbb{H}^*=\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})$ acting as the scalar multiplications from the right on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, which forms the group $\mathrm{GL}(n+1,\mathbb{H})\cdot\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})=\mathrm{GL}(n+1,\mathbb{H})\underset{\mathbb{R}^*}{\times}\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})$. Let $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1,\mathbb{H})\cdot\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})$ whose elements preserve the nondegenerate bilinear form $\mathrm{Re}\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Denote by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ the $(4n+3)$-dimensional quadric space:

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(z_1,\cdots,z_{p+1},w_1,\cdots,w_q)\in\mathbb{H}^{n+1}\mid\\&\qquad||(z,w)||^2=|z_1|^2+\cdots+|z_{p+1}|^2-|w_1|^2-\cdots-|w_q|^2=1\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, the group $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ leaves $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ invariant. Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_x$ be the nondegenerate quaternionic inner product on the tangent space $T_x\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ obtained from the parallel translation of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ to the point $x\in\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. Recall that $\{I,\ J,\ K\}$ is the standard quaternionic structure on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ which operates as $Iz=z\boldsymbol{i}$, $Jz=z\boldsymbol{j}$, or $Kz=z\boldsymbol{k}$. As usual, $\{I_x,\ J_x,\ K_x\}$ acts on $T_x\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ at each point x. Then it is easy to see that $g^{\mathbb{H}}_x(X,Y)=\mathrm{Re}\langle X,Y\rangle_x$ ($\forall\ X,Y\in T_x\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$) is the standard pseudo-euclidean metric of type $(p+1,q)$ on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ which is invariant under $\{I,\ J,\ K\}$. Restricted $g^{\mathbb{H}}$ to the quadric $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, we obtain a nondegenerate pseudo-Riemannian metric g of type $(3+4p,4q)$ where $p+q=n$.

Definition 3.1. *([22]) The quadric $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ is referred to the quaternionic pseudo-Riemannian space form of type $(3+4p,4q)$ with constant curvature 1 endowed with a transitive group of isometries $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ for which $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}=\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ where $\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ is the stabilizer at $(1,0,\cdots,0)$.*

Compare [32], [22]. When $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q},g^{\mathbb{H}})$ is viewed as a real pseudo-Riemannian space form, the full group of isometries is $\mathrm{O}(4p+4,4q)$. It is noted that the intersection of $\mathrm{O}(4p+4,4q)$ with $\mathrm{GL}(n+1,\mathbb{H})\cdot\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})$ is $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. When N_x is the normal vector at $x\in\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$, $T_x\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}=N_x^{\perp}$ with respect to $g^{\mathbb{H}}$. If N is a normal vector field on $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$, then $IN,JN,KN\in T\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ such that there is the decomposition $T\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}=\{IN,JN,KN\}\oplus\{IN,JN,KN\}^{\perp}$. Let $\mathcal{D}=\{IN,JN,KN\}^{\perp}$ which is the $4n$-dimensional subbundle. As $g^{\mathbb{H}}$ is a $\{I,\ J,\ K\}$ -invariant metric, $(\mathcal{D},g|\mathcal{D})$ is also invariant under $\{I,\ J,\ K\}$. Now, $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ acts freely on $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ as (right translations): $(\lambda\in\mathrm{Sp}(1))$

$$(\lambda,(z_1,\cdots,z_{p+1},w_1,\cdots,w_q))=(z_1\cdot\bar{\lambda},\cdots,z_{p+1}\cdot\bar{\lambda},w_1\cdot\bar{\lambda},\cdots,w_q\cdot\bar{\lambda}).$$

Definition 3.2. *Let $\mathbb{HP}^{p,q}$ be the orbit space $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}/\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ which is said to be the quaternionic pseudo-Kähler projective space of type $(4p,4q)$.*

See Definition 4.5 for the definition of quaternionic pseudo-Kähler manifold in general. $\mathbb{HP}^{p,q}$ is shown to be a quaternionic pseudo-Kähler manifold in Theorem 4.6 provided that $4n\geq 8$. When $p=n,q=0$, $\mathbb{HP}^{n,0}$ is the standard quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{HP}^n$. When $p=0,q=n$, $\mathbb{HP}^{0,n}$ is the quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{H}}$. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{HP}^{p,q}$ is homotopic to the canonical quaternionic line bundle over the quaternionic Kähler projective space $\mathbb{HP}^p$. There is the equivariant principal bundle:

$$\mathrm{Sp}(1)\to(\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1),\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q})\xrightarrow{\pi}(\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q),\mathbb{HP}^{p,q})\tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, let

$$\omega_0=-(\bar{z}_1dz_1+\cdots+\bar{z}_{p+1}dz_{p+1}-\bar{w}_1dw_1-\cdots-\bar{w}_qdw_q).\tag{3.4}$$

Then it is easy to check that ω_0 is an $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued 1-form on $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$. Let ξ_1,ξ_2,ξ_3 be the vector fields on $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ induced by the one-parameter subgroups $\{e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta}\}_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}}$, $\{e^{\boldsymbol{j}\theta}\}_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}}$, $\{e^{\boldsymbol{k}\theta}\}_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}}$ respectively, which is equivalent to that $\xi_1=IN,\xi_2=JN,\xi_3=KN$. A calculation shows that

$$\omega_0(\xi_1)=\boldsymbol{i},\ \omega_0(\xi_2)=\boldsymbol{j},\ \omega_0(\xi_3)=\boldsymbol{k}.\tag{3.5}$$

By the formula of ω_0, if $a\in\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, then the right translation R_a on $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ satisfies that

$$\mathrm{R}_a^*\omega_0=a\cdot\omega_0\cdot\bar{a}.\tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, ω_0 is a connection form of the above bundle (3.3). Note that $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)$ leaves ω_0 invariant. We shall check the conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (1.10) so that $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q},\{I,J,K\},g,\omega_0)$

will be a quaternionic CR manifold. First of all, it follows that

$$\omega_0\wedge\omega_0\wedge\omega_0\wedge\overbrace{(d\omega_0\wedge d\omega_0)\wedge\cdots\wedge(d\omega_0\wedge d\omega_0)}^{n\ \text{times}}\neq 0\ \ \text{at any point of } \Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}.$$

(Compare [14],[28] for example). In fact, letting $\omega_0=\omega_1\boldsymbol{i}+\omega_2\boldsymbol{j}+\omega_3\boldsymbol{k}$ as before,

$${\omega_0}^3\wedge {d\omega_0}^{2n}=6\omega_1\wedge\omega_2\wedge\omega_3\wedge({d\omega_1}^2+{d\omega_2}^2+{d\omega_3}^2)^n.$$

This calculation shows (iii). In particular, each ω_a is a nondegenerate contact form on $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$. Using (3.6) and as ξ_1 generates $\{e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta}\}_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}}\subset \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}\omega_1=0$. (Similarly we have $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}\omega_2=\mathcal{L}_{\xi_3}\omega_3=0$.) Noting that $\omega_a(\xi_a)=1$ and $0=\mathcal{L}_{\xi_a}\omega_a=\iota_{\xi_a}\circ d\omega_a$ from (3.5), each ξ_a is the characteristic vector field for ω_a. Moreover, note that $\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3\}$ generates the fields of Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. It follows that $\mathcal{D}=\bigcap_{a=1}^{3}\mathrm{Null}\omega_a$ for which there is the decomposition $T\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}=\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3\}\oplus\mathcal{D}$. If $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,4n}$ is the orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{D}$, then the dual frame θ^i is obtained as $\theta^i(e_j)=\delta^i_j$ and $\theta^i(\xi_1)=\theta^i(\xi_2)=\theta^i(\xi_3)=0$. In order to prove that the distribution uniquely determined by (1.10) are $\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3\}$ (cf. (4.3) also), we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3.

$$d\omega_1(X,Y)=g(X,IY),\ d\omega_2(X,Y)=g(X,JY),\ d\omega_3(X,Y)=g(X,KY)$$

where $X,Y\in\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Given $X,Y\in\mathcal{D}_x$, let u,v be the vectors at the origin by parallel translation of X,Y at $x\in\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ respectively. Then by definition, $g(X,Y)=\mathrm{Re}\langle u,v\rangle$. Furthermore,

$$g(X,IY)=\mathrm{Re}(\langle u,v\cdot\boldsymbol{i}\rangle)=\mathrm{Re}(\langle u,v\rangle\cdot\boldsymbol{i}). \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.4), if $X,Y\in\mathcal{D}_x$, then

$$d\omega_0(X,Y)=-(d\bar z_1\wedge dz_1+\cdots+d\bar z_{p+1}\wedge dz_{p+1}-d\bar w_1\wedge dw_1-\cdots-d\bar w_q\wedge dw_q)(u,v). \tag{3.8}$$

Then a calculation shows that $d\omega_0(X,Y)=-\dfrac{1}{2}(\langle u,v\rangle-\overline{\langle u,v\rangle})$. It is easy to check that the $\boldsymbol{i}$-part of $-\dfrac{1}{2}(\langle u,v\rangle-\overline{\langle u,v\rangle})$ is $\mathrm{Re}(\langle u,v\rangle\cdot\boldsymbol{i})$. Since $d\omega_1(X,Y)$ is the $\boldsymbol{i}$-part of $d\omega(X,Y)$ and by (3.7), we obtain the equality $g(X,IY)=d\omega_1(X,Y)$. Similarly, we have that $g(X,JY)=d\omega_2(X,Y)$, $g(X,KY)=d\omega_3(X,Y)$. □

From this lemma, $d\omega_a(e_i,e_j)=g(e_i,J_ae_j)=-\mathbf{J^a}_{ij}$. Since $\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3\}$ generates $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ of the bundle (3.3), we obtain $d\omega_a+2\omega_b\wedge\omega_c=-\mathbf{J^a}_{ij}\theta^i\wedge\theta^j$. Applying to J,K similarly, we obtain the following structure equation of the bundle (3.3):

$$d\omega_0+\omega_0\wedge\omega_0=-(\mathbf{I}_{ij}\boldsymbol{i}+\mathbf{J}_{ij}\boldsymbol{j}+\mathbf{K}_{ij}\boldsymbol{k})\theta^i\wedge\theta^j. \tag{3.9}$$

From this equation, the condition (1.10) is easily checked so that $\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_\alpha=\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3\}$. We summarize that

Theorem 3.4. $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q},\{\omega_a\}_{a=1,2,3},\{I,J,K\},g)$ *is a* $(4n+3)$*-dimensional homogeneous quaternionic* CR *manifold of type* $(3+4p,4q)$ *where* $p+q=n\geq 0$. *Moreover, there exists the equivariant principal bundle* (3.3) *of the pseudo-Riemannian submersion over the homogeneous quaternionic pseudo-Kähler projective space* $\mathbb{HP}^{p,q}$ *of type* $(4p,4q)$*:* $\mathrm{Sp}(1)\rightarrow(\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1),\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q},g)\xrightarrow{\pi}(\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q),\mathbb{HP}^{p,q},\hat g)$.

We shall prove more generally in Theorem 4.6 that $(\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q), \mathbb{HP}^{4p,4q})$ supports an invariant quaternionic pseudo-Kähler metric $\hat{g}$ of type $(4p, 4q)$.

Remark 3.5. (**a**) *In* [2], *it is shown that* $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}, \{I, J, K\}, g)$ *is a pseudo-Sasakian space form of constant positive curvature with type* $(4p+3, 4q)$.

(**b**) *When* $q = 0$ *or* $p = 0$, *we can find discrete cocompact subgroups from* $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ *or* $\mathrm{Sp}(1,n)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ *that act properly and freely on* $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4n,0} = S^{4n+3}$ *or* $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3,4n} = V_{-1}^{4n+3}$ *respectively. Thus, we obtain compact nondegenerate quaternionic CR manifolds. In fact,* (**i**) *The spherical space form* S^{4n+3}/F *which is* $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ *or* $\mathrm{SO}(3)$*-bundle over the quaternionic Kähler projective orbifold* $\mathbb{HP}^n/F^*$ *of positive scalar curvature.* ($F \subset \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ *is a finite group.*) (**ii**) *The pseudo-Riemannian standard space form* V_{-1}^{4n+3}/Γ *of type* $(4n, 3)$ *with constant sectional curvature* -1 *which is an* $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$*-bundle over the quaternionic Kähler hyperbolic orbifold* $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n/\Gamma^*$ *of negative scalar curvature. As we know, there exists no compact pseudo-Sasakian manifold (or quaternionic CR manifold) whose pseudo-Kähler orbifold is of zero Ricci curvature. However in our case an indefnite Heisenberg nilmanifold is a compact* pseudo-conformal quaternionic *CR manifold whose pseudo-Kähler orbifold is of zero Ricci curvature, see* § *7.3.*

4. LOCAL PRINCIPAL BUNDLE

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,4n}$ be the basis of $\mathcal{D}|U$ such that $g^{\mathcal{D}}(e_i, e_j) = g_{ij}$. We choose a local coframe θ^i for which

$$\theta^i|V = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \theta^i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}. \tag{4.1}$$

As usual the quaternionic structure $\{J_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ can be represented locally by the matrix $\mathbf{J}^{\alpha}{}_{i}^{j}$ such as $J_\alpha e_i = \mathbf{J}^{\alpha}{}_{i}^{j} e_j$. Using (1.2), note that $\rho_\alpha(e_j, e_i) = \mathbf{J}^{\alpha}{}_{i}^{k} g_{jk} = \mathbf{J}^{\alpha}{}_{ij}$. Here the matrix (g_{ij}) lowers and raises the indices. Then we can write the structure equation (1.9) by using θ^i:

$$d\omega_\alpha + 2\omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma = -\mathbf{J}^{\alpha}{}_{ij}\theta^i \wedge \theta^j \ \ (\alpha = 1, 2, 3). \tag{4.2}$$

Using ω of (iii), we have the following formula corresponding to (4.2):

$$d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = -(\mathbf{J^1}{}_{ij}\boldsymbol{i} + \mathbf{J^2}{}_{ij}\boldsymbol{j} + \mathbf{J^3}{}_{ij}\boldsymbol{k})\theta^i \wedge \theta^j. \tag{4.3}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the local transformation groups generated by V acting on a small neighborhood U' of U. As $\mathcal{E}$ is locally isomorphic to the compact Lie group SO(3) by Lemma 2.2, it acts properly on U'. (See for example [27].) If we note that each ξ_a is a nonzero vector field everywhere on U, then the stabilizer of $\mathcal{E}$ is finite at every point. By the slice theorem of compact Lie groups [8], choosing a sufficiently small neighborhood $\mathcal{E}'$ of the identity from $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{E}'$ acts properly and freely on U'. We choose such U' (respectively $\mathcal{E}'$) from the beginning and replace it by U (respectively $\mathcal{E}$). Then there is a principal local fibration:

$$\mathcal{E} \to U \xrightarrow{\pi} U/\mathcal{E}. \tag{4.4}$$

If we note that $V \oplus \mathcal{D} = TM|U$, π maps $\mathcal{D}$ isomorphically onto $T(U/\mathcal{E})$ at each point of U. So $\{\pi_* e_i \mid i = 1, \cdots, 4n\}$ is a basis of $T(U/\mathcal{E})$ at each point of $U/\mathcal{E}$. Let $\hat{\theta}^i$ be the dual frame on $U/\mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\hat{\theta}^i(\pi_* e_j) = \delta_{ij} \ \text{ on } U/\mathcal{E}. \tag{4.5}$$

Since θ^i is the coframe of $\{e_i\}$ and $\pi^*\hat{\theta}^i|V = \theta^i|V = 0$, it follows that

$$\pi^*\hat{\theta}^i = \theta^i \text{ on } U \ (i = 1, \cdots, 4n). \tag{4.6}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Put $J_1 = I,\ J_2 = J,\ J_3 = K$ respectively. Let $\{\varphi_\theta\}_{-\varepsilon<\theta<\varepsilon}$ be a local one-parameter subgroup of the local group $\mathcal{E}$. Then there exists an element $G_\theta \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ satisfying the following:*

$$(4.7)\qquad \begin{aligned} &(1)\quad (\varphi_\theta)_* \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = G_\theta \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}. \\ &(2)\quad \begin{pmatrix} I_{\varphi_\theta y} \\ J_{\varphi_\theta y} \\ K_{\varphi_\theta y} \end{pmatrix} \circ \varphi_{\theta *} = \varphi_{\theta *} \circ {}^tG(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} I_y \\ J_y \\ K_y \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since every leaf of V is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, ξ_a is viewed as the fundamental vector field to the principal fibration $\pi : U \to U/\mathcal{E}$. Thus we may assume that ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 correspond to $\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}$ respectively so that $\varphi^1_\theta = e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta},\ \varphi^2_\theta = e^{\boldsymbol{j}\theta},\ \varphi^3_\theta = e^{\boldsymbol{k}\theta}$ up to conjugacy by an element of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, A calculation shows that $(\varphi^1_\theta)_*((\xi_2)_x) = \cos 2\theta \cdot (\xi_2)_{\varphi^1_\theta x} + \sin 2\theta \cdot (\xi_3)_{\varphi^1_\theta x}$. Similarly, $(\varphi^1_\theta)_*((\xi_3)_x) = -\sin 2\theta \cdot (\xi_2)_{\varphi^1_\theta x} + \cos 2\theta \cdot (\xi_3)_{\varphi^1_\theta x}$, $(\varphi^1_\theta)_*((\xi_1)_x) = (\xi_1)_{\varphi^1_\theta x}$. This holds similarly for $\varphi^1_\theta, \varphi^2_\theta$. It turns out that if $\varphi_\theta \in \mathcal{E}$, then there exists an element $G_\theta \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ which shows the above formula (1). Since φ_t preserves $\mathcal{D}$ $(-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon)$, using (1) we see that

$$(4.8)\qquad \varphi_t^*(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)G_t.$$

Since there exists an element $g_t \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ such that $g_t \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} \bar{g}_t = G_t \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}$ ($\bar{g}_t$ is the quaternion conjugate of g_t), (4.8) is equivalent with

$$(4.9)\qquad \varphi_t^*\omega = g_t \cdot \omega \cdot \bar{g}_t.$$

Differentiate this equation which yields that

$$(4.10)\qquad \varphi_t^*(d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega) \equiv g_t(d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega)\bar{g}_t \mod \omega.$$

Using the equation (4.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t^*((I_{ij}, J_{ij}, K_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j) &\equiv (I_{ij}, J_{ij}, K_{ij}) g_t \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} \bar{g}_t \theta^i \wedge \theta^j \\ &= (I_{ij}, J_{ij}, K_{ij}) G_t \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\varphi_t^*\theta^i = \varphi_t^*(\pi^*\hat{\theta}^i) = \theta^i$, the above equation implies that

$$(4.11)\qquad (I_{ij}(\varphi_t(x)), J_{ij}(\varphi_t(x)), K_{ij}(\varphi_t(x))) \equiv (I_{ij}(x), J_{ij}(x), K_{ij}(x))G_t(x) \mod \omega.$$

Since $\pi_*\varphi_{t*}((e_i)_x) = \pi_*((e_i)_{\varphi_t x})$ $(x \in U)$, it follows $\varphi_{t*}((e_i)_x) = (e_i)_{\varphi_t x}$. Letting $G_t = (s_{ij}) \in$ SO(3) and using (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\varphi_t x}(\varphi_t)_*((e_i)_x) &= I_{\varphi_t x}((e_i)_{\varphi_t x}) = I_i^j(\varphi_t x)((e_j)_{\varphi_t x}) \\ &= (I_i^j(x)\cdot s_{11} + J_i^j(x)\cdot s_{21} + K_i^j(x)\cdot s_{31}))((\varphi_t)_*((e_j)_x)) \\ &= (\varphi_t)_*(s_{11}\cdot I_x((e_i)_x) + s_{21}\cdot J_x((e_i)_x) + s_{31}\cdot K_x((e_i)_x)) \\ &= (\varphi_t)_*((s_{11}, s_{21}, s_{31}) \begin{pmatrix} I_x \\ J_x \\ K_x \end{pmatrix} (e_i)_x). \end{aligned}$$

The same argument applies to $J_{\varphi_t x}, K_{\varphi_t x}$ to conclude that $\begin{pmatrix} I_{\varphi_t x} \\ J_{\varphi_t x} \\ K_{\varphi_t x} \end{pmatrix} \circ \varphi_{t*} = \varphi_{t*} \circ {}^tG_t \begin{pmatrix} I_x \\ J_x \\ K_x \end{pmatrix}$. This proves (2). $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *The quaternionic structure* $\{I, J, K\}$ *on* $\mathcal{D}|U$ *induces a family of quaternionic structures* $\{\hat{I}_i, \hat{J}_i, \hat{K}_i\}_{i\in\Lambda}$ *on* $U/\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Choose a small neighborhood $V_i \subset U/\mathcal{E}$ and a section $s_i : V_i \to U$ for the principal bundle $\pi : U \to U/\mathcal{E}$. Let $\hat{x} \in V_i$ and a vector $\hat{X}_{\hat{x}} \in TV_i$. Choose a vector $X_{s_i(\hat{x})} \in \mathcal{D}_{s_i(\hat{x})}$ such that $\pi_*(X_{s_i(\hat{x})}) = \hat{X}_{\hat{x}}$. Define endomorphisms $\hat{I}_i, \hat{J}_i, \hat{K}_i$ on V_i to be

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{I}_i)_{\hat{x}}(\hat{X}_{\hat{x}}) &= \pi_* I_{s_i(\hat{x})} X_{s_i(\hat{x})}, \\ (\hat{J}_i)_{\hat{x}}(\hat{X}_{\hat{x}}) &= \pi_* J_{s_i(\hat{x})} X_{s_i(\hat{x})}, \\ (\hat{K}_i)_{\hat{x}}(\hat{X}_{\hat{x}}) &= \pi_* K_{s_i(\hat{x})} X_{s_i(\hat{x})}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Since $\pi_* : \mathcal{D}_{s_i(\hat{x})} \to T_{\hat{x}}(U/\mathcal{E})$ is an isomorphism, $\hat{I}_i, \hat{J}_i, \hat{K}_i$ are well-defined almost complex structures on V_i. So we have a family $\{\hat{I}_i, \hat{J}_i, \hat{K}_i\}_{i\in\Lambda}$ of almost complex structures associated to an open cover $\{V_i\}_{i\in\Lambda}$ of $U/\mathcal{E}$. Suppose that $V_i \cap V_j \neq \emptyset$. If $\hat{x} \in V_i \cap V_j$, then there is an element $\varphi_\theta \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $s_j(\hat{x}) = \varphi_\theta \cdot s_i(\hat{x})$. As φ_θ preserves $\mathcal{D}$, $\varphi_{\theta*} X_{s_i(\hat{x})} \in \mathcal{D}_{s_j(\hat{x})}$ and $\pi_*(\varphi_{\theta*} X_{s_i(\hat{x})}) = \hat{X}_{\hat{x}}$. Then

$$X_{s_j(\hat{x})} = \varphi_{\theta*} X_{s_i(\hat{x})}. \tag{4.13}$$

Let $\{\hat{I}_j, \hat{J}_j, \hat{K}_j\}$ be almost complex structures on V_j obtained from (4.12). Using Lemma 4.1 and (4.13), calculate at $s_j(\hat{x})$ $(\hat{x} \in V_i \cap V_j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} (\hat{I}_j)_{\hat{x}} \\ (\hat{J}_j)_{\hat{x}} \\ (\hat{K}_j)_{\hat{x}} \end{pmatrix} \hat{X}_{\hat{x}} &= \pi_* \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_j(\hat{x})} \\ J_{s_j(\hat{x})} \\ K_{s_j(\hat{x})} \end{pmatrix} X_{s_j(\hat{x})} = \pi_* \begin{pmatrix} I_{\varphi_\theta\cdot s_i(\hat{x})} \\ J_{\varphi_\theta\cdot s_i(\hat{x})} \\ K_{\varphi_\theta\cdot s_i(\hat{x})} \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{\theta*} X_{s_i(\hat{x})} \\ &= \pi_*\varphi_{\theta*} \circ {}^tG_\theta \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_i(\hat{x})} \\ J_{s_i(\hat{x})} \\ K_{s_i(\hat{x})} \end{pmatrix} X_{s_i(\hat{x})} \\ &= {}^tG(\theta)\pi_* \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_i(\hat{x})} \\ J_{s_i(\hat{x})} \\ K_{s_i(\hat{x})} \end{pmatrix} X_{s_i(\hat{x})} = {}^tG_\theta \begin{pmatrix} (\hat{I}_i)_{\hat{x}} \\ (\hat{J}_i)_{\hat{x}} \\ (\hat{K}_i)_{\hat{x}} \end{pmatrix} \hat{X}_{\hat{x}}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\begin{pmatrix} (\hat{I}_j)_{\hat{x}} \\ (\hat{J}_j)_{\hat{x}} \\ (\hat{K}_j)_{\hat{x}} \end{pmatrix} = {}^tG_\theta \begin{pmatrix} (\hat{I}_i)_{\hat{x}} \\ (\hat{J}_i)_{\hat{x}} \\ (\hat{K}_i)_{\hat{x}} \end{pmatrix}$ on $\hat{x} \in V_i \cap V_j$. Thus, $\{\hat{I}_i, \hat{J}_i, \hat{K}_i\}_{i\in\Lambda}$ defines a quaternionic structure on $U/\mathcal{E}$. $\square$

4.1. **Pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure and Pseudo-Kähler structure.** We now take $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,4n}$ of $\mathcal{D}|U$ as the orthonormal basis, i.e. $g_{ij}=\delta_{ij}$. Then the bilinear form $g^{\mathcal{D}}=\sum_{i=1}^{4p}\theta^i\cdot\theta^i-\sum_{i=4p+1}^{4n}\theta^i\cdot\theta^i$ defined on $\mathcal{D}$ induces a pseudo-Riemannian metric on $U/\mathcal{E}$:

$$\hat{g}=\sum_{i=1}^{4p}\hat{\theta}^i\cdot\hat{\theta}^i-\sum_{i=4p+1}^{4n}\hat{\theta}^i\cdot\hat{\theta}^i \tag{4.14}$$

such that $g^{\mathcal{D}}=\pi^*\hat{g}$. Let $\hat{\nabla}$ be the covariant derivative on $U/\mathcal{E}$. If $\hat{\omega}^i_j$ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to $\hat{g}$, then it follows that

$$\hat{\nabla}\hat{e}_i=\hat{\omega}^j_i\hat{e}_j. \tag{4.15}$$

Then $\hat{\omega}^i_j$ satisfies that

$$d\hat{\theta}^i=\hat{\theta}^j\wedge\hat{\omega}^i_j,\quad \hat{\omega}_{ij}+\hat{\omega}_{ji}=0. \tag{4.16}$$

Put

$$\hat{\Omega}^i_j=d\hat{\omega}^i_j-\hat{\omega}^\sigma_j\wedge\hat{\omega}^i_\sigma=\frac{1}{2}\hat{R}^i_{jkl}\hat{\theta}^k\wedge\hat{\theta}^\ell. \tag{4.17}$$

Consider the following pseudo-Riemannian metric on U:

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{g}_x(X,Y)=\sum_{a=1}^{3}\omega_a(X)\cdot\omega_a(Y)+\hat{g}_{\pi(x)}(\pi_*X,\pi_*Y)\quad(X,Y\in T_xU).\\&(\text{Equivalently } \tilde{g}=\sum_{a=1}^{3}\omega_a\cdot\omega_a+\sum_{i=1}^{4p}\theta^i\cdot\theta^i-\sum_{i=4p+1}^{4n}\theta^i\cdot\theta^i.)\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Then we have shown in [4] that the local principal fibration $\mathcal{E}\to(U,\tilde{g})\xrightarrow{\pi}(U/\mathcal{E},\hat{g})$ is a pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure. In fact the following equation (4.19) is equivalent with the normality condition of the pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure. (Compare [29], [5].)

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(\{\omega_\alpha\},\{J_\alpha\},\{\xi_\alpha\})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ be a nondegenerate quaternionic CR structure on U of a $(4n+3)$-manifold M. If ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on $(U,\tilde{g})$, then,*

$$(\nabla_X\bar{J}_\alpha)Y=\tilde{g}(X,Y)\xi_\alpha-\omega_\alpha(Y)X\quad(\alpha=1,2,3). \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. For $X,Y\in TU$, consider the following tensor

$$N^{\omega_\alpha}(X,Y)=N(X,Y)+(X\omega_\alpha(Y)-Y\omega_\alpha(X))\xi_\alpha \tag{4.20}$$

where $N(X,Y)=[\bar{J}_\alpha X,\bar{J}_\alpha Y]-[X,Y]-\bar{J}_\alpha[\bar{J}_\alpha X,Y]-\bar{J}_\alpha[X,\bar{J}_\alpha Y]$ is the Nijenhuis torsion of $\bar{J}_\alpha$ $(\alpha=1,2,3)$. A direct calculation for a contact metric structure $\tilde{g}$ (cf. [5]) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}2\tilde{g}((\nabla_X\bar{J}_\alpha)Y,Z)=&\,\tilde{g}(N^{\omega_\alpha}(Y,Z),\bar{J}_\alpha X)+(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{J}_\alpha X}\omega_\alpha)(Y)\\&-(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{J}_\alpha Y}\omega_\alpha)(X)+2\tilde{g}(X,Y)\omega_\alpha(Z)-2\tilde{g}(X,Z)\omega_\alpha(Y).\end{aligned}$$

We have shown in Theorem 2.7 that each $\bar{J}_\alpha$ is integrable on $\mathrm{Null}\omega_\alpha$. It follows from the famous theorem that the Nijenhuis torsion of $\bar{J}_\alpha$, $N(X,Y)=0$ $(\forall\ X,Y\in\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_\alpha)$. By the formula (4.20), $N^{\omega_\alpha}(X,Y)=0$ for $\forall\ X,Y\in\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_\alpha$. To obtain (4.19), it has to show that $N^{\omega_\alpha}(X,Y)$ vanishes for all $X,Y\in TU$. Noting the decomposition $TU=\{\xi_1\}\oplus\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_1$, it suffices to show that $N^{\omega_1}(\xi_1,X)=0$ (similarly for $\alpha=2,3$). Since ξ_α is a characteristic CR-vector field for $(\omega_\alpha,\bar{J}_\alpha)$ $(\alpha=1,2,3)$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}\bar{J}_1=0$, it follows that $\bar{J}_1[\xi_1,Y]=[\xi_1,\bar{J}_1Y]$ $(\forall\ Y\in\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_1)$. In particular, $\bar{J}_1[\xi_1,\bar{J}_1X]=-[\xi_1,X]$. Hence, $N^{\omega_\alpha}(\xi_1,X)=0$. As a consequence, we see that $N^{\omega_\alpha}(X,Y)=0$

($\forall\ X, Y \in TU$). On the other hand, if $N^{\omega_\alpha}(X,Y) = 0$ ($\forall\ X, Y \in TU$), then it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{J}_\alpha X}\omega_\alpha)(Y) - (\mathcal{L}_{\bar{J}_\alpha Y}\omega_\alpha)(X) = 0$. (See [5].) From (4.18), note that $\omega_\alpha(X) = \tilde{g}(\xi_\alpha, X)$. The above equation (4.19) follows. □

As $\{\omega_\alpha, \theta^i\}_{\alpha=1,2,3;i=1\cdots 4n}$ are orthonormal coframes for the pseudo-Sasakian metric $\tilde{g}$ (cf. (4.18)), the structure equation says that there exist unique 1-forms φ^i_j, τ^i_α ($i, j = 1, \cdots, 4n; \alpha = 1, 2, 3$) satisfying:

$$d\theta^i = \theta^j \wedge \varphi^i_j + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \omega_\alpha \wedge \tau^i_\alpha \quad (\varphi_{ij} + \varphi_{ji} = 0). \tag{4.21}$$

Then the normality condition for the pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure is reinterpreted as the following structure equation.

Theorem 4.4. *There exsists a connection form* $\{\omega^i_j\}$ *such that*

$$d\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{ij} - \omega^\sigma_i \bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{\sigma j} - \bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{i\sigma}\omega^\sigma_j = 2\bar{\mathbf{J}}^b_{ij} \cdot \omega_c - 2\bar{\mathbf{J}}^c_{ij} \cdot \omega_b \quad ((a, b, c) \sim (1, 2, 3)). \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.3 that $(\nabla_X \bar{J}_a)e_i = \tilde{g}(X, e_i)\xi_a$ for the orthonormal basis $e_i \in B$. From the structure equation (4.21), let $\nabla_X e_i = \varphi^j_i(X)e_j + \sum_{b=1}^{3} (\tau_b)_i \xi_b$ which is substituted into the equation $(\nabla_X \bar{J}_a)e_i = \nabla_X(\bar{J}_a e_i) - \bar{J}_a(\nabla_X e_i)$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X \bar{J}_a)e_i &= (d(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^\ell_i(X) - \varphi^\sigma_i(X)(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^\ell_\sigma + (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^\sigma_i \varphi^\ell_\sigma(X))e_\ell \\ &\quad + \sum_{b=1}^{3} (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^\ell_i (\tau_b)_\ell(X)\xi_b - \sum_{c \neq a} (\tau_b)_i(X)\xi_c \\ &= \tilde{g}(X, e_i)\xi_a. \quad (\text{Here } \bar{J}_a\xi_b = \xi_c.)\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

As $\tilde{g}(X, e_i) = \tilde{g}_{ki}\theta^k(X)$ (cf. (4.18)), this implies that $d(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^\ell_i - \varphi^\sigma_i(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^\ell_\sigma + (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^\sigma_i \varphi^\ell_\sigma = 0$ and $(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^\ell_i (\tau_a)_\ell(X)\xi_a = \tilde{g}_{ki}\theta^k(X)\xi_a$. It follows $-(\tau_a)_i = (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{ij}\theta^j$. Then $(\tau_a)_i \tilde{g}^{ik} = -(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{ij}\tilde{g}^{ik}\theta^j = (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{ji}\tilde{g}^{ik}\theta^j$, so that $(\tau_a)^i = (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^i_j \theta^j$. As $\tilde{g}_{ij} = \pm\delta_{ij}$, use $\tilde{g}^{ij}$ to lower the above equations:

$$\begin{aligned}&d(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{ij} - \varphi^\sigma_i(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{\sigma j} - (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{i\sigma}\varphi^\sigma_j = 0. \\ &(\tau_a)^i = (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^i_j \theta^j.\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Putting

$$\omega^i_j = \varphi^i_j - \sum_{a=1}^{3} (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^i_j \omega_a, \tag{4.25}$$

the equation (4.21) reduces to

$$d\theta^i = \theta^j \wedge \omega^i_j \quad (\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0). \tag{4.26}$$

Differentiate our equation (4.2) $d\omega_a + 2\omega_b \wedge \omega_c = -\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{ij}\theta^i \wedge \theta^j$ $((a, b, c) \sim (1, 2, 3))$ and substitute (4.26). Then it becomes (after alternation):

$$(d\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{ij} - \omega^\sigma_i \bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{\sigma j} - \bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{i\sigma}\omega^\sigma_j + \omega_b \cdot 2\bar{\mathbf{J}}^c_{ij} - \omega_c \cdot 2\bar{\mathbf{J}}^b_{ij}) \wedge \theta^i \wedge \theta^j = 0.$$

If we note (4.24) and (4.25), $d\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{ij} - \omega^\sigma_i \bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{\sigma j} - \bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{i\sigma}\omega^\sigma_j \equiv 0 \bmod \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$. As the forms $\omega_a \wedge \theta^i \wedge \theta^j$ are linearly independent, the result follows. □

Definition 4.5. *Let $\hat{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on an almost quaternionic pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(X, \hat{g})$ of type $(4p, 4q)$ $(p+q=n)$. Then X is said to be a quaternionic pseudo-Kähler manifold if for each quaternionic structure $\{\hat{J}_a; a=1,2,3\}$ defined locally on a neighborhood of X, there exists a smooth local function $A \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$ such that*

$$\hat{\nabla}\begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_1 \\ \hat{J}_2 \\ \hat{J}_3 \end{pmatrix} = A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_1 \\ \hat{J}_2 \\ \hat{J}_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

provided that $\dim X = 4n \geq 8$. *Equivalently if $\hat{\Omega}$ is the fundamental 4-form globally defined on X, then $\hat{\nabla}\hat{\Omega} = 0$.*

We have shown the following result in [2] when $\dim U/\mathcal{E} = 4n \geq 12$ by Swann's method.

Theorem 4.6. *The set $(U/\mathcal{E}, \hat{g}, \{\hat{I}_i, \hat{J}_i, \hat{K}_i\}_{i\in\Lambda})$ is a quaternionic pseudo-Kähler manifold of type $(4p, 4q)$ provided that* $\dim U/\mathcal{E} = 4n \geq 8$. *Moreover, $(U/\mathcal{E}, \hat{g})$ is an Einstein manifold of positive scalar curvature $(4n \geq 4)$ such that*

$$\hat{R}_{j\ell} = 4(n+2)\hat{g}_{j\ell}. \tag{4.27}$$

Proof. As we put $\theta^i = \pi^*\hat{\theta}^i$, the equation (4.16) implies that $d\theta^i = \theta^j \wedge \pi^*\hat{\omega}^i_j$, $\pi^*\hat{\omega}_{ij} + \pi^*\hat{\omega}_{ji} = 0$. Compared this with the equation (4.26) and by skew-symmetry, it is easy to check that

$$\pi^*\hat{\omega}^i_j = \omega^i_j. \tag{4.28}$$

Put $\hat{V} = V_i$ and $\hat{J}_1 = \hat{I}_i,\ \hat{J}_2 = \hat{J}_i,\ \hat{J}_3 = \hat{K}_i$ on $\hat{V}$. Let $s = s_i : \hat{V} \to U$ be the section as before. Since $\pi_* s_*((\hat{e}_j)_x) = (\hat{e}_j)_x = \pi_*((e_j)_{s(\hat{x})})$, $s_*((\hat{e}_j)_x) - (e_j)_{s(\hat{x})} \in V = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$. Then $\theta^i(s_*((\hat{e}_j)_x)) = \theta^i((e_j)_{s(\hat{x})})$ from (4.1). A calculation shows that $(\hat{J}_a)_{\hat{x}}\hat{e}_i = \pi_*(J_a)_{s(\hat{x})}e_i = \pi_*((\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^j_i(s(\hat{x}))e_j) = (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)^j_i(s(\hat{x}))\hat{e}_j$ (cf. (4.12)). As we put $\hat{J}^a_{\hat{x}}\hat{e}_i = (\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)^j_i(\hat{x})\hat{e}_j$, note that

$$\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a_{ij}(s(\hat{x})) = \hat{\mathbf{J}}^a_{ij}(\hat{x}) \quad (a=1,2,3). \tag{4.29}$$

In particular,

$$d(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{ij} \circ s_*(\hat{X}_{\hat{x}}) = d(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{ij}(\hat{X}_{\hat{x}}) \quad (\forall\ \hat{X}_{\hat{x}} \in T_{\hat{x}}(\hat{V}))\ (a=1,2,3). \tag{4.30}$$

Since $\pi_* s_*(\hat{X}_{\hat{x}}) = \hat{X}_{\hat{x}}$ $(\hat{X}_{\hat{x}} \in T_{\hat{x}}(\hat{V}))$,

$$\hat{\omega}^\sigma_j(\hat{X}_{\hat{x}}) = \omega^\sigma_j(s_*(\hat{X}_{\hat{x}})). \tag{4.31}$$

Plug these equations (4.31), (4.29) and (4.30) into (4.22):

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{ij}(s_*\hat{X}) - \omega^\sigma_i(s_*\hat{X}) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{\sigma j}(s(\hat{x})) - (\bar{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{i\sigma}(s(\hat{x})) \cdot \omega^\sigma_j(s_*\hat{X}) \\ &= d((\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{ij})_{\hat{x}}(\hat{X}) - \hat{\omega}^\sigma_i(\hat{X}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{\sigma j}(\hat{x}) - (\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{i\sigma}(\hat{x}) \cdot \hat{\omega}^\sigma_j(\hat{X}) \\ &= 2(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^b)_{ij}(s(\hat{x})) \cdot \omega_c(s_*\hat{X}) - 2(\bar{\mathbf{J}}^c)_{ij}(s(\hat{x})) \cdot \omega_b(s_*\hat{X}) \\ &= 2(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^b)_{ij}(\hat{x}) \cdot \omega_c(s_*\hat{X}) - 2(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^c)_{ij}(\hat{x}) \cdot \omega_b(s_*\hat{X}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Using these,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{X}}(\hat{J}_a)((\hat{e}_i)_{\hat{x}}) = \hat{\nabla}_{\hat{X}}(\hat{J}_a)\hat{e}_i - (\hat{J}_a)(\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{X}}\hat{e}_i) \\ &= (d(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{ij}(\hat{X}) - (\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{i\sigma}(\hat{x}) \cdot \hat{\omega}^\sigma_j(\hat{X}) - \hat{\omega}^\sigma_i(\hat{X}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{\sigma j}(\hat{x}))(\hat{e}_j)_{\hat{x}} \\ &= 2(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^b)_{ij}(\hat{x})(\hat{e}_j)_{\hat{x}} \cdot s^*\omega_c(\hat{X}) - 2(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^c)_{ij}(\hat{x})(\hat{e}_j)_{\hat{x}} \cdot s^*\omega_b(\hat{X}) \\ &= \Big(2(\hat{J}_b)_{\hat{x}} \cdot s^*\omega_c(\hat{X}) - 2(\hat{J}_c)_{\hat{x}} \cdot s^*\omega_b(\hat{X})\Big)(\hat{e}_i)_{\hat{x}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Therefore, $\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{X}}(\hat{J}_a) = 2(\hat{J}_b)_{\hat{x}} \cdot s^*\omega_c(\hat{X}) - 2(\hat{J}_c)_{\hat{x}} \cdot s^*\omega_b(\hat{X})$. This concludes that

$$\hat{\nabla}\begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_1 \\ \hat{J}_2 \\ \hat{J}_3 \end{pmatrix} = 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & s^*\omega_3 & -s^*\omega_2 \\ -s^*\omega_3 & 0 & s^*\omega_1 \\ s^*\omega_2 & -s^*\omega_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_1 \\ \hat{J}_2 \\ \hat{J}_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.34}$$

As we put $\hat{J}_1 = \hat{I}_i,\ \hat{J}_2 = \hat{J}_i,\ \hat{J}_3 = \hat{K}_i$ on $\hat{V}$, $(U/\mathcal{E}, \hat{g}, \{\hat{I}_i, \hat{J}_i, \hat{K}_i\}_{i\in\Lambda})$ is a quaternionic pseudo-Kähler manifold for dim $U/\mathcal{E} \geq 8$. Using the Ricci identity (cf. (2.11), (2.12) of [13], [30]), a calculation shows that

$$(n>1)\quad (4.35)\qquad \begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{jl} &= -4(n+2)\Big(s^*(d\omega_1 + 2\omega_2\wedge\omega_3)\Big)(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k)\hat{I}^k_\ell(\hat{x}). \\ \hat{R}_{jl} &= -4(n+2)\Big(s^*(d\omega_2 + 2\omega_3\wedge\omega_1)\Big)(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k)\hat{J}^k_\ell(\hat{x}). \\ \hat{R}_{jl} &= -4(n+2)\Big(s^*(d\omega_3 + 2\omega_1\wedge\omega_2)\Big)(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k)\hat{K}^k_\ell(\hat{x}). \end{aligned}$$

$$(n=1)\quad (4.36)\qquad \begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{jl} = &-4\Big(s^*(d\omega_1 + 2\omega_2\wedge\omega_3)\Big)(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k)\hat{I}^k_\ell(\hat{x}) + \\ &- 4\Big(s^*(d\omega_2 + 2\omega_3\wedge\omega_1)\Big)(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k)\hat{J}^k_\ell(\hat{x}) + \\ &- 4\Big(s^*(d\omega_3 + 2\omega_1\wedge\omega_2)\Big)(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k)\hat{K}^k_\ell(\hat{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Using $d\omega_a + 2\omega_b\wedge\omega_c = -\mathbf{J}^a_{ij}\theta^i\wedge\theta^j$ and (4.29), it follows that $\Big(s^*(d\omega_a + 2\omega_b\wedge\omega_c)\Big)(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k) = -\mathbf{J}^a_{jk}(s(\hat{x})) = -\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a_{jk}(\hat{x})$. Since $(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)^j_i \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)^k_j = -\delta^k_i$, $\hat{R}_{jl} = +4(n+2)(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)_{jk}(\hat{x})\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^a)^k_\ell(\hat{x}) = 4(n+2)g_{j\ell}$ when $n > 1$ and $\hat{R}_{jl} = +4(\hat{I}_{jk}(\hat{x})\cdot\hat{I}^k_\ell(\hat{x}) + \hat{J}_{jk}(\hat{x})\cdot\hat{J}^k_\ell(\hat{x}) + \hat{K}_{jk}(\hat{x})\cdot\hat{K}^k_\ell(\hat{x})) = 4\cdot 3g_{j\ell}$ when $n = 1$. □

5. QUATERNIONIC CR CURVATURE TENSOR

Recall from (4.26) that $d\theta^i = \theta^j\wedge\omega^i_j,\quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0$ where $\pi^*\hat{\omega}^i_j = \omega^i_j$, $\pi^*\hat{\theta}^i = \theta^i$ from (4.21), (4.6) respectively $(i, j = 1, \cdots, 4n)$. Define the fourth-order tensor $R^i_{jk\ell}$ on U by putting

$$d\omega^i_j - \omega^\sigma_j\wedge\omega^i_\sigma \equiv \frac{1}{2}R^i_{jk\ell}\theta^k\wedge\theta^\ell \mod \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3. \tag{5.1}$$

By (4.17), it follows that

$$R^i_{jk\ell} = \pi^*\hat{R}^i_{jk\ell}. \tag{5.2}$$

The equality (4.27) implies that

$$R_{j\ell} = 4(n+2)g_{j\ell}. \tag{5.3}$$

Differentiate the structure equation (4.21).

$$0 = d\theta^j\wedge\varphi^i_j - \theta^j\wedge d\varphi^i_j + \sum_a d\omega_a\wedge\tau^i_a - \sum_a \omega_a\wedge d\tau^i_a. \tag{5.4}$$

Substitute (4.2) and (4.21) into (5.4);

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^j\wedge(d\varphi^i_j - \varphi^k_j\wedge\varphi^i_k - \sum_a \mathbf{J}^a_{kj}\theta^k\wedge\tau^i_a) + \sum_a \omega_a\wedge(d\tau^i_a - \tau^k_a\wedge\varphi^i_k) \\ + 2\omega_2\wedge\omega_3\wedge\tau^i_1 + 2\omega_3\wedge\omega_1\wedge\tau^i_2 + 2\omega_1\wedge\omega_2\wedge\tau^i_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\theta^j\wedge(d\varphi^i_j - \varphi^k_j\wedge\varphi^i_k - \sum_a \mathbf{J}^a_{kj}\theta^k\wedge\tau^i_a) \equiv 0 \mod \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3. \tag{5.5}$$

We use (5.5) to define the curvature form:

$$\Phi^i_j = d\varphi^i_j - \varphi^k_j \wedge \varphi^i_k + \sum_{a=1}^{3} \theta^k \wedge \mathbf{J}^a_{jk}\tau^i_a - \theta^i \wedge \theta_j. \tag{5.6}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1\Phi^i &= d\tau^i_1 - \tau^k_1 \wedge \varphi^i_k + \omega_2 \wedge \tau^i_3 - \omega_3 \wedge \tau^i_2, \\ {}_2\Phi^i &= d\tau^i_2 - \tau^k_2 \wedge \varphi^i_k + \omega_3 \wedge \tau^i_1 - \omega_1 \wedge \tau^i_3, \\ {}_3\Phi^i &= d\tau^i_3 - \tau^k_3 \wedge \varphi^i_k + \omega_1 \wedge \tau^i_2 - \omega_2 \wedge \tau^i_1 \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

which satisfy the following relation.

$$\theta^j \wedge \Phi^i_j + \omega_1 \wedge {}_1\Phi^i + \omega_2 \wedge {}_2\Phi^i + \omega_3 \wedge {}_3\Phi^i = 0. \tag{5.8}$$

We may define the fourth-order curvature tensor T^i_{jkl} from Φ^i_j:

$$\Phi^i_j \equiv \frac{1}{2} T^i_{jkl}\theta^k \wedge \theta^\ell \mod \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3. \tag{5.9}$$

Remark 5.1. In view of (5.9), there exist the fourth-order curvature tensors W^i_{jka} $(a = 1, 2, 3)$ and V^i_{jbc} $(1 \le b < c \le 3)$ for which we can describe:

$$\Phi^i_j = \frac{1}{2} T^i_{jkl}\theta^k \wedge \theta^\ell + \frac{1}{2}\sum_a W^i_{jka}\theta^k \wedge \omega_a + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{b<c} V^i_{jbc}\omega_b \wedge \omega_c. \tag{5.10}$$

6. TRANSFORMATION OF PSEUDO-CONFORMAL QCR STRUCTURE

6.1. ***G*-structure.** When $\{\theta^i\}_{i=1,\cdots,4n}$ are the 1-forms locally defined on a neighborhood U of M, we form the $\mathbb{H}$-valued 1-form $\{\omega^i\}_{i=1,\cdots,n}$ such as

$$\omega^i = \theta^i + \theta^{n+i}\boldsymbol{i} + \theta^{2n+i}\boldsymbol{j} + \theta^{3n+i}\boldsymbol{k}. \tag{6.1}$$

We shall consider the transformations $f : U \to U$ of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} f^*\omega &= \lambda \cdot \omega \cdot \bar{\lambda} \ (= u^2 a \cdot \omega \cdot \bar{a}), \\ f^*(\omega^j) &= {U'}^j_\ell \omega^\ell \cdot \bar{\lambda} + \lambda \tilde{v}^j \omega \bar{\lambda} \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

such that $\lambda = u \cdot a$ for some smooth functions $u > 0$, $a \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ and $U' \in \mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$ with $p + q = n$. Let G be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{H}) \cdot \mathbb{H}^*$ consisting of matrices

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & 0 \\ \hline \lambda \cdot \tilde{v}^i & U' \end{array}\right) \cdot \lambda. \tag{6.3}$$

Recall that $\mathrm{Sim}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes (\mathrm{Sp}(p, q) \cdot \mathbb{H}^*)$ is the quaternionic affine similarity group where $\mathbb{H}^* = \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Then note that G is anti-isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sim}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ given by the map

$${}^t\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & x^j \\ \hline 0 & X \end{array}\right) \cdot \lambda \longrightarrow (X{x^j}^*, X \cdot \lambda) \in \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes (\mathrm{Sp}(p, q) \cdot \mathbb{H}^*). \tag{6.4}$$

(Here $x^* = {}^t\bar{x}$.) We represent G as the real matrices. Let $\tilde{v}$ be a vector of the quaternionic vector space $\mathbb{H}^n$. The group $\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathbb{H}^*$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(4n,\mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{H}^n$ by

$$(U'\cdot\lambda)\tilde{v} = U'\tilde{v}\cdot\bar{\lambda} \tag{6.5}$$

where $U' \in \mathrm{Sp}(p,q)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}^*$. Write $\lambda = u\cdot a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ so that $\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathbb{H}^*$ is embedded into $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{SO}(4p,4q)$ in the following manner:

$$U'\cdot\lambda(\tilde{v}) = uU'\tilde{v}\bar{a} = uU'\bar{a}\circ(a\tilde{v}\bar{a}) = u(U'\bar{a})\circ\mathrm{Ad}_a(\tilde{v}) = u\cdot U\tilde{v} \quad (\tilde{v}\in\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{4n}) \tag{6.6}$$

in which

$$U = U'\bar{a}\circ\mathrm{Ad}_a \in \mathrm{SO}(4p,4q), \tag{6.7}$$

$$\mathrm{Ad}_a\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{i}\\ \boldsymbol{j}\\ \boldsymbol{k}\end{pmatrix} = a\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{i}\\ \boldsymbol{j}\\ \boldsymbol{k}\end{pmatrix}\bar{a} = A\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{i}\\ \boldsymbol{j}\\ \boldsymbol{k}\end{pmatrix} \text{ for some } A\in\mathrm{SO}(3). \tag{6.8}$$

We put the vector $\tilde{v}^j \in \mathbb{H}^n$ in such a way that $\tilde{v}^j = v^j + v^{n+j}\boldsymbol{i} + v^{2n+j}\boldsymbol{j} + v^{3n+j}\boldsymbol{k}$ $(j = 1,\cdots,n)$. Form the real (4×3)-matrix

$$V^j = \begin{pmatrix} -v^{j+n} & -v^{j+2n} & -v^{j+3n}\\ v^j & -v^{j+3n} & v^{j+2n}\\ v^{j+3n} & v^j & -v^{j+n}\\ -v^{j+2n} & v^{j+n} & v^j \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.9}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\lambda\tilde{v}^j\begin{pmatrix}\omega_1\\ \omega_2\\ \omega_3\end{pmatrix}\bar{\lambda} = \lambda((1\ \boldsymbol{i}\ \boldsymbol{j}\ \boldsymbol{k})V^j\begin{pmatrix}\omega_1\\ \omega_2\\ \omega_3\end{pmatrix})\bar{\lambda} = (1\ \boldsymbol{i}\ \boldsymbol{j}\ \boldsymbol{k})u^2\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & {}^tA\end{pmatrix}V^j\begin{pmatrix}\omega_1\\ \omega_2\\ \omega_3\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.10}$$

Then G is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(4n+3,\mathbb{R})$ consisting of matrices

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} u^2\cdot{}^tA & 0\\ \hline u^2\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & {}^tA\end{pmatrix}V^1 & \\ \vdots & u\cdot U\\ u^2\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & {}^tA\end{pmatrix}V^n & \end{array}\right). \tag{6.11}$$

Here $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3), U = (U^i_j) \in \mathrm{SO}(4p, 4q)$.
Using the coframe field $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{4n}\}$, f is represented by

$$\begin{aligned}
&f^*(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) = u^2(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)A,\\
&f^*\theta^i = u\theta^k U^i_k + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \omega_\alpha v^i_\alpha,\\
&\text{where } \begin{pmatrix} v_1^{4j-3} & v_2^{4j-3} & v_3^{4j-3} \\ v_1^{4j-2} & v_2^{4j-2} & v_3^{4j-2} \\ v_1^{4j-1} & v_2^{4j-1} & v_3^{4j-1} \\ v_1^{4j} & v_2^{4j} & v_3^{4j} \end{pmatrix} = u^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & {}^tA \end{pmatrix} V^j \quad (j = 1, \cdots, n).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}(M)$ be the principal coframe bundle over M. A subbundle P of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is said to be a *bundle of the nondegenerate integrable G-structure* if P is the total space of the principal bundle $G \to P \to M$ whose points consist of such coframe fields $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{4n}\}$ satisfying the condition (1.1), (1.9), (1.10). A diffeomorphism $f : M \to M$ is a G-automorphism if the derivative $f^* : \mathcal{F}(M) \to \mathcal{F}(M)$ induces a bundle map $f^* : P \to P$ in which f^* has the form locally as in (6.2) (equivalently (6.12)).

Definition 6.1. *Let* $\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(M)$ *be the group of all G-automorphisms of M.*

6.2. **Automorphism group** $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$**.** Let W be the $(n+2)$-dimensional arithmetic vector space $\mathbb{H}^{p+1,q+1}$ over $\mathbb{H}$ equipped with the standard Hermitian metric $\mathcal{B}$ of signature $(p+1, q+1)$ where $p+q = n$. Then note that the isometry group $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Aut}(W, \mathcal{B}) = \mathrm{Sp}(p+1, q+1)$ and W has the gradation $W = W^{-1} + W^0 + W^{+1}$, where $W^{\pm 1}$ are dual 1-dimensional isotropic subspaces and W^0 is (h-non-degenerate) orthogonal complement to $W^{-1} + W^{+1}$. The gradation W induces the gradation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of depth two, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1} + \mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathfrak{g}^2.$$

Here $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathbb{R} + \mathfrak{sp}(1) + \mathfrak{sp}(n)$.

In [3] we introduced a notion of pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure. This geometry is defined by a codimension three distribution $\mathcal{H}$ on a $(4n+3)$-dimensional manifold M, which satisfies the only one condition that the associated graded tangent space ${}^{gr}T_xM = T_xM/\mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{H}_x$ at any point is isomorphic to the quaternionic Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{M}(p, q) \cong \mathfrak{g}^- = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$, i.e. the Iwasawa subalgebra of $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1, q+1)$. Put $\mathrm{Sp}(W) = \mathrm{Sp}(p+1, q+1)$. We proved that such a geometry is a parabolic geometry so that it admits a canonical Cartan connection and its automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ is a Lie group. More precisely, if $P^+(\mathbb{H})$ is the parabolic connected subgroup of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ corresponding to the dual parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}^+(\mathbb{H}) = \mathfrak{g}^+ + \mathfrak{g}^0$ of $\mathfrak{sp}(W)$, then there is a $P^+(\mathbb{H})$-principal bundle $\pi : B \to M$ with a normal Cartan connection $\kappa : TB \to \mathfrak{sp}(W)$ of type $\mathrm{Sp}(W)/P^+(\mathbb{H})$. There exists the canonical pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1, q+1)/P^+(\mathbb{H})$ with all vanishing curvature tensors (cf. §7.2). A pseudo-conformal quaternionic manifold $(M, \mathcal{H})$ is locally isomorphic to a $(\mathrm{Sp}(p+1, q+1)/P^+(\mathbb{H}), \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{can}})$ if and only if the associated Cartan connection κ is flat (i.e. has zero curvature). Put $S^{4p+3,4q} = \mathrm{Sp}(p+1, q+1)/P^+(\mathbb{H})$. Then $S^{4p+3,4q}$ is the flat homogeneous model diffeomorphic to $S^{4p+3} \times S^{4q+3}/\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ where the product of spheres $S^{4p+3} \times S^{4q+3} = \{(z^+, z^-) \in \mathbb{H}^{p+1,q+1} \mid \mathcal{B}(z^+, z^+) = 1, \mathcal{B}(z^-, z^-) = -1\}$ is the subspace of $W = \mathbb{H}^{p+1,q+1}$ and the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ is induced by the diagonal right action on W. The group of all automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}(S^{4p+3,4q})$ preserving this flat structure is $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1, q+1)$.

Suppose that M is a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifold. By definition, $T_xM \cong T_xM/\mathcal{D}_x + \mathcal{D}_x = \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} + \mathbb{H}^n \cong \mathfrak{M}(p,q)$ at $\forall\ x \in M$. Then each G-automorphism of $\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(M)$ preserves $\mathfrak{M}(p,q)$ by the above formula (6.12). Since a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure is a pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure by Definition 1.3, note that $\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(M)$ is a (closed) subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ which is a Lie group as above.

Corollary 6.2. *The group* $\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(M)$ *is a finite dimensional Lie group for a pseudo-conformal quaternionic* CR *manifold* M*.*

7. PSEUDO-CONFORMAL QCR STRUCTURE ON $S^{3+4p,4q}$

We shall prove that the quaternionic CR homogeneous model $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ induces a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure on $S^{3+4p,4q}$ which coincides with the flat pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure.

7.1. Quaternionic pseudo-hyperbolic geometry.

Let

$$\mathcal{B}(z,w) = \bar{z}_1w_1 + \bar{z}_2w_2 + \cdots + \bar{z}_{p+1}w_{p+1} - \bar{z}_{p+2}w_{p+2} - \cdots - \bar{z}_{n+2}w_{n+2}$$

be the above Hermitian form on $\mathbb{H}^{n+2} = \mathbb{H}^{p+1,q+1}$ $(p+q=n)$. We consider the following subspaces in $\mathbb{H}^{n+2} - \{0\}$:

$$V_0^{4n+7} = \{z \in \mathbb{H}^{n+2} |\ \mathcal{B}(z,z) = 0\},$$
$$V_-^{4n+8} = \{z \in \mathbb{H}^{n+2} |\ \mathcal{B}(z,z) < 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{H}^* \to ((\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q+1)\cdot\mathbb{H}^*, \mathbb{H}^{n+2} - \{0\}) \xrightarrow{P} (\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1), \mathbb{HP}^{n+1})$ be the equivariant projection. The quaternionic pseudo-hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q}$ is defined to be $P(V_-^{4n+8})$ (cf. [10]). Let $\mathrm{GL}(n+2,\mathbb{H})$ be the group of all invertible $(n+2)\times(n+2)$-matrices with quaternion entries. Denote by $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q+1)$ the subgroup consisting of

$$\{A \in \mathrm{GL}(n+2,\mathbb{H}) \mid \mathcal{B}(Az,Aw) = \mathcal{B}(z,w), z,w \in \mathbb{H}^{n+2}\}. \tag{7.1}$$

The action $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q+1)$ on V_-^{4n+8} induces an action on $\mathbb{H}\ _{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q}$. The kernel of this action is the center $\mathbb{Z}/2 = \{\pm 1\}$ whose quotient is the pseudo-quaternionic hyperbolic group $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$. It is known that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q}$ is a complete simply connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold of negative sectional curvature from -1 to $-\frac{1}{4}$, and with the group of isometries $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$ (cf. [19]). Remark that when $q=0, p=n$, $P(V_-^{4n+8}) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{n+1}$ is the quaternionic Kähler hyperbolic space with the group of isometries $\mathrm{PSp}(n+1,1)$. The projective compactification of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q}$ is obtained by taking the closure $\bar{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q}$ in $\mathbb{HP}^{n+1}$. Then it is easy to check that $\bar{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q} \cup P(V_0^{4n+7})$. The boundary $P(V_0^{4n+7})$ of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q}$ is identified with the quadric $S^{3+4p,4q}$ by the correspondence:

$$[z_+, z_-] \mapsto \left[\frac{z_+}{|z_-|}, \frac{z_-}{|z_-|}\right]. \tag{7.2}$$

Since the pseudo-hyperbolic action of $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$ on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q}$ extends to a smooth action on $S^{3+4p,4q} = P(V_0^{4n+7})$ as projective transformations because the projective compactification $\bar{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p+1,q}$ is an invariant domain of $\mathbb{HP}^{n+1}$.

7.2. **Existence of pseudo-conformal QCR structure on $S^{3+4p,4q}$.** Recall that $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q} = \{(z_1,\cdots,z_{p+1},w_1,\cdots,w_q) \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \mid |z_1|^2+\cdots+|z_{p+1}|^2-|w_1|^2-\cdots-|w_q|^2=1\}$ equipped with the quaternionic CR structure ω_0 (cf. §3). The embedding ι of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ into $S^{4p+3,4q}$ is defined by $(z_1,\cdots,z_{p+1},w_1,\cdots,w_q) \mapsto [(z_1,\cdots,z_{p+1},w_1,\cdots,w_q,1)]$. Then $\iota(\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q})$ is an open dense submanifold of $S^{4p+3,4q}$ since it misses the subspace $S^{4p+3,4(q-1)} = S^{4p+3}\times S^{4q-1}/\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ in $S^{4p+3,4q}$. We know that $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$ has the transitive isometry group $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ (cf. Definition 3.1). Then this embedding implies that $\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ is identified with the subgroup $P(\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1))$ of $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$ leaving the last component z_{n+2} invariant in $V_0^{4n+7}\subset\mathbb{H}^{n+2}$.

By pullback, each element h of $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$ gives a quaternionic CR structure $h^{-1*}\omega_0$ on the open subset $h(\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q})$ of $S^{3+4p,4q}$. Noting that $h^{-1*}\mathcal{H}^{can}=\mathcal{H}^{can}$ and Definition 1.3, we shall prove that $(S^{3+4p,4q},\mathcal{H}^{can})$ admits a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure by showing that $\mathrm{Null}h^{-1*}\omega_0$ coincides with the restriction of $\mathcal{H}^{can}|h(\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q})$.

Theorem 7.1. *The $(4n+3)$-dimensional pseudo-conformal quaternionic manifold $(S^{4p+3,4q},\mathcal{H}^{can})$ supports a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure, i.e. there exists locally a quaternionic CR strucrure ω on a neighborhood U such that*

$$\mathcal{H}^{can}|U=\mathrm{Null}\omega.$$

Moreover, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(S^{4p+3,4q})$ with respect to this pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure is $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$.

Proof. First we describe the canonical pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure $\mathcal{H}^{can}$ on $S^{3+4p,4q}$ explicitly. Choose isotropic vectors $x,y\in V_0$ such that $\mathcal{B}(x,y)=1$ and denote by V the orthogonal complement to $\{x,y\}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{p+1,q+1}$. Then it follows that $T_xV_0=\mathfrak{sp}(W)x=y\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}+V+x\mathbb{H}$ where $T_x(x\mathbb{H}^*)=x\mathbb{H}$. Then

$$T_{[x]}S^{4k+3,4q}=P_*(T_xV_0)=(y\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}+V+x\mathbb{H})/x\mathbb{H}.$$

We associate to each $[x]\in S^{4k+3,4q}$ the orthogonal complement $x^\perp=V+x\mathbb{H}$. It does not depend on the choice of points from $[x]$. In fact, if $x'\in[x]$, then $x'=x\cdot\lambda$ for some $\lambda\in\mathbb{H}^*$. By the definition choosing y' such that $T_{x'}V_0=y'\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}+V'+x'\mathbb{H}$ where the orthogonal complement V' to $\{x',y'\}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{p+1,q+1}$ is uniquely determined. Let v' be any vector of V' which is described as $v'=y\cdot a+v+x\cdot b$ for some $a,b\in\mathbb{H}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}0=\mathcal{B}(x',v')&=\mathcal{B}(x',y)a+\mathcal{B}(x',v)+\mathcal{B}(x',x)b\\&=\bar\lambda\mathcal{B}(x,y)a+\bar\lambda\mathcal{B}(x,v)+\bar\lambda\mathcal{B}(x,x)b=\bar\lambda\cdot a.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda\neq0$, $a=0$ and so $v'=v+x\cdot b$. Hence $x'^\perp=V'+x'\mathbb{H}=V+x\mathbb{H}$. Therefore the orthogonal complement $x^\perp=V+x\mathbb{H}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{p+1,q+1}$ determines a codimension three subbundle

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{H}^{can}=\bigcup_{[x]\in S^{4p+3,4q}}P_*(x^\perp).\\ (7.3)\qquad &P_*(x^\perp)=V+x\mathbb{H}/x\mathbb{H}\subset TS^{4p+3,4q}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, recall that if N_p is the normal vector at $p\in\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}$, then $(\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_0)_p=\mathcal{D}_p=\{IN_p,JN_p,KN_p\}^\perp$ by the definition (cf. § 3). Since $T_p\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}=N_p^\perp$ with respect to $g^{\mathbb{H}}$, it follows that $T_p\mathbb{H}^{n+1}|\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{3+4p,4q}=\{N_p,IN_p,JN_p,KN_p\}\oplus\mathcal{D}_p$. If we note that $\{N_p,IN_p,JN_p,KN_p\}=p\mathbb{H}$, then we have $\mathcal{D}_p=p\mathbb{H}^\perp$. It is easy to see that the orthogonal complement to $p\mathbb{H}$ with respect to $g^{\mathbb{H}}$ coincides with the orthogonal complement to p with respect to the inner product $\mathcal{B}=\langle,\rangle$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}_p=p^\perp$. As the tangent subspace $\iota_*(\mathcal{D}_p)$ at $\iota(p)$ in $T_{\iota(p)}V_0$ is $(\mathcal{D}_p,0)$ which is parallel to $\mathcal{D}_p$ in T_pV_0, it implies that $\mathcal{B}(\iota_*(\mathcal{D}_p),\iota(p))=\mathcal{B}((\mathcal{D}_p,0),(p,1))=\langle\mathcal{D}_p,p\rangle-\langle 0,1\rangle=0$. Hence $\iota_*(\mathcal{D}_p)\subset\iota(p)^\perp$ (with respect to $\mathcal{B}$). As $\iota(p)^\perp=V+\iota(p)\mathbb{H}$, $\iota_*(\mathcal{D}_p)\subset V+\iota(p)\mathbb{H}$. As above $\iota_*(\mathcal{D}_p)=(\mathcal{D}_p,0)$ at $\iota(p)$, but $\iota(p)\mathbb{H}=(p,1)\cdot H$. The intersection $\iota_*(\mathcal{D}_p)\cap\iota(p)\mathbb{H}=\{0\}$. It implies that $\iota_*(\mathcal{D}_p)=\iota_*(\mathcal{D}_p)/\iota(p)\mathbb{H}\subset V+\iota(p)\mathbb{H}/\iota(p)\mathbb{H}$. By (7.3), $\iota_*((\mathrm{Null}\,\omega_0)_p)=P_*(\iota(p)^\perp)=\mathcal{H}^{can}_{\iota(p)}$. Therefore $S^{4p+3,4q}$ admits a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(S^{4p+3,4q})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(S^{4p+3,4q})=\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$ from §6.2. $\square$

To prove $\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(S^{4p+3,4q})=\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$, we recall the quaternionic Heisenberg Lie group.

7.3. **Pseudo-conformal quaternionic Heisenberg geometry.** Let $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$ be the group of all automorphisms preserving the flat pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure of $S^{4p+3,4q}=\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)/P^+(\mathbb{H})$ (cf. 6.2.) We consider the stabilizer of the point at infinity $\{\infty\}=[1,0,\cdots,0,1]\in\Sigma^{3+4p,4q}_{\mathbb{H}}\subset S^{4p+3,4q}$. Recall the (indefinite) Heisenberg nilpotent Lie group $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}(p,q)$ from [14]. It is the product $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{H}^n$ with group law:

$$(a,y)\cdot(b,z)=(a+b-\mathrm{Im}\langle y,z\rangle,y+z).$$

Here $\langle\ \rangle$ is the Hermitian inner product of signature (p,q) on $\mathbb{H}^n$ and $\mathrm{Im}\langle\ \rangle$ is the imaginary part $(p+q=n)$. It is nilpotent because the commutator subgroup $[\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}]=\mathbb{R}^3$ which is the center consisting of the form $(a,0)$. In particular, there is the central extension:

$$1\rightarrow\mathbb{R}^3\rightarrow\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\mathbb{H}^n\rightarrow 1. \tag{7.4}$$

Denote by $\mathrm{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$ the semidirect product $\mathcal{M}\rtimes(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)\times\mathbb{R}^+)$ where the action $(A\cdot g,t)\in\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)\times\mathbb{R}^+$ on $(a,y)\in\mathcal{M}$ is given by:

$$(A\cdot g,t)\circ(a,y)=(t^2\cdot gag^{-1},\ t\cdot Ayg^{-1}). \tag{7.5}$$

Denote the origin by $O=[1,0,\cdots,0,-1]\in\Sigma^{3+4p,4q}_{\mathbb{H}}-\{\infty\}$. Then, the stabilizer $\mathrm{Aut}(S^{3+4p,4q})_\infty$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$ (cf. [16]). The orbit $\mathcal{M}\cdot O$ is a dense open subset of $S^{4p+3,4q}$. The embedding ι is defined by:

$$((a,b,c),(z_+,z_-))\in\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{\iota}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{|z_+|^2-|z_-|^2}{2}-1+\mathbf{i}a+\mathbf{j}b+\mathbf{k}c\\ \sqrt{2}z_+\\ \sqrt{2}z_-\\ \frac{|z_+|^2-|z_-|^2}{2}+1+\mathbf{i}a+\mathbf{j}b+\mathbf{k}c\end{bmatrix} \tag{7.6}$$

Then the pair $(\mathrm{Sim}(\mathcal{M}),\mathcal{M})$ is said to be *pseudo-conformal quaternionic Heisenberg geometry* which is a subgeometry of the flat pseudo-conformal quaternionic geometry $(\mathrm{Aut}(S^{3+4p,4q}),S^{3+4p,4q})$. We prove the rest of Theorem 7.1.

Proposition 7.2. $\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(S^{4p+3,4q})=\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$.

Proof. First note that $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$ decomposes into $\mathrm{Sim}(\mathcal{M})\cdot(\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1))$. We know from §3 that each element $f=(A,a)\in\mathrm{Sp}(p+1,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ satisfies that $f^*\omega_0=a\omega_0\bar{a}$, obviously $f\in\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(S^{4p+3,4q})$. On the other hand, it is shown that an element h of $\mathrm{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfy that $h^*\omega_0=\lambda\omega_0\bar{\lambda}$ for some function $\lambda\in\mathbb{H}^*$ by using the explicit formula of ω_0. (See [14].) When $h\in\mathrm{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$, note that $h(\infty)=\infty$. Let $\tau:\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)_\infty\rightarrow\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{T}_{\{\infty\}}(S^{3+4p,4q}))$ be the tangential representation at $\{\infty\}$. Since the elements of the center $\mathbb{R}^3$ of $\mathcal{M}$ are tangentially identity maps at $\mathrm{T}_{\{\infty\}}(S^{3+4p,4q})$, $\tau(\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)_\infty)=\mathbb{H}^n\rtimes(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)\times\mathbb{R}^+)$ which is isomorphic to the structure group G (cf. (6.11)). As $\tau(h)=h_*$, $h\in\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(S^{3+4p,4q})$ by Definition 6.1. We have $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)\subset\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(S^{3+4p,4q})$. $\square$

8. Pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR invariant

We shall consider the equivalence of pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure. Let $d\omega+\omega\wedge\omega=-(I_{ij}\boldsymbol{i}+J_{ij}\boldsymbol{j}+K_{ij}\boldsymbol{k})\theta^i\wedge\theta^j$ be the equation (4.3) as before. We examine how this equation behaves under the transformation $f\in \mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(M)$; $f^*\omega=\lambda\cdot\omega\cdot\bar{\lambda}$. Put $\omega'=f^*\omega$. By (6.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
d\omega'+\omega'\wedge\omega' &= f^*(d\omega+\omega\wedge\omega)=-(I_{ij}\boldsymbol{i}+J_{ij}\boldsymbol{j}+K_{ij}\boldsymbol{k})f^*\theta^i\wedge f^*\theta^j\\
&= -(I_{ij}\boldsymbol{i}+J_{ij}\boldsymbol{j}+K_{ij}\boldsymbol{k})(u\theta^k U^i_k+\sum_a \omega_a v^i_a)\wedge(u\theta^\ell U^j_\ell+\sum_b \omega_b v^j_b)\\
&= -(I_{ij}\boldsymbol{i}+J_{ij}\boldsymbol{j}+K_{ij}\boldsymbol{k})\Big(u^2U^i_kU^j_\ell\theta^k\wedge\theta^\ell+\\
&\quad \sum_a \omega_a\wedge(uv^i_aU^j_\ell\theta^\ell-uv^j_aU^i_\ell\theta^\ell)+\sum_{a<b}\omega_a\wedge\omega_b(v^i_av^j_b-v^i_bv^j_a)\Big)\\
&= -(I_{ij}\boldsymbol{i}+J_{ij}\boldsymbol{j}+K_{ij}\boldsymbol{k})\Big(u^2U^i_kU^j_\ell\theta^k\wedge\theta^\ell+\sum_a \omega_a\wedge 2uv^i_aU^j_\ell\theta^\ell\\
&\quad +\sum_{a<b}\omega_a\wedge\omega_b(2v^i_av^j_b)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing w^i_a $(a=1,2,3)$ such that $U^i_kw^k_a=v^i_a$, the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
d\omega'+\omega'\wedge\omega' = -(I_{ij}\boldsymbol{i}+J_{ij}\boldsymbol{j}+K_{ij}\boldsymbol{k})\Big(u^2U^i_kU^j_\ell\theta^k\wedge\theta^\ell+\\
\sum_a \omega_a\wedge 2uw^k_aU^i_kU^j_\ell\theta^\ell+\sum_{a<b}\omega_a\wedge\omega_b(2U^i_kU^j_\ell w^k_aw^\ell_b)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $U=U'\bar{a}\circ \mathrm{Ad}_a\in \mathrm{SO}(4p,4q)$ be the matrix as in (6.7) so that $Uz=U'z\bar{a}$ $(z\in\mathbb{H}^n)$ (cf. (6.6)). If $\{I,J,K\}$ is the set of the standard quaternionic structure, then

$$\begin{aligned}
IU(z) &= I(U'z\bar{a})=U'z\bar{a}\boldsymbol{i}=U'z(\bar{a}\boldsymbol{i}a)\bar{a}\\
&= U'z(a_{11}\boldsymbol{i}+a_{21}\boldsymbol{j}+a_{31}\boldsymbol{k})\bar{a}=a_{11}U'z\boldsymbol{i}\bar{a}+a_{21}U'z\boldsymbol{j}\bar{a}+a_{31}U'z\boldsymbol{k}\bar{a}\\
&= a_{11}U(z\boldsymbol{i})+a_{21}U(z\boldsymbol{j})+a_{31}U(z\boldsymbol{k})=a_{11}UI(z)+a_{21}UJ(z)+a_{31}UK(z).
\end{aligned}$$

This follows that $IU=a_{11}UI+a_{21}UJ+a_{31}UK$. Since $IU(e_i)=U^j_iI^\ell_je_\ell$, a calculation shows that $U^j_iI^\ell_j=a_{11}I^j_iU^\ell_j+a_{21}J^j_iU^\ell_j+a_{31}K^j_iU^\ell_j$, similarly for J,K. As

$$\begin{pmatrix} I'\\ J'\\ K'\end{pmatrix}={}^tA\begin{pmatrix} I\\ J\\ K\end{pmatrix} \tag{8.1}$$

is a new quaternionic structure (cf. (1.6)), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{ij}U^i_kU^j_\ell &= a_{11}I_{k\ell}+a_{21}J_{k\ell}+a_{31}K_{k\ell}={I'}_{k\ell}.\\
J_{ij}U^i_kU^j_\ell &= a_{12}I_{k\ell}+a_{22}J_{k\ell}+a_{32}K_{k\ell}={J'}_{k\ell}.\\
K_{ij}U^i_kU^j_\ell &= a_{13}I_{k\ell}+a_{23}J_{k\ell}+a_{33}K_{k\ell}={K'}_{k\ell}.
\end{aligned}\tag{8.2}$$

Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
d\omega'+\omega'\wedge\omega' = -({I'}_{ij}\boldsymbol{i}+{J'}_{ij}\boldsymbol{j}+{K'}_{ij}\boldsymbol{k})\Big(u^2\theta^i\wedge\theta^j\\
+\sum_a \omega_a\wedge 2uw^i_a\theta^j+\sum_{a<b}\omega_a\wedge\omega_b(2w^i_aw^j_b)\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{8.3}$$

We shall derive an invariant under the change $\omega' = \lambda\cdot\omega\cdot\bar{\lambda}$. Recall from (6.12) that

$$(\omega'_1, \omega'_2, \omega'_3) = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)u^2\cdot A. \tag{8.4}$$

Let $d\theta^i = \theta^j\wedge\varphi^i_j + \sum_a \omega_a\wedge\tau^i_a$ be the structure equation (4.21). We define 1-forms ${\nu'}^i_a$ by setting

$$\begin{pmatrix} {\nu'}^i_1 \\ {\nu'}^i_2 \\ {\nu'}^i_3 \end{pmatrix} = u^{-2}\cdot {}^tA \begin{pmatrix} \tau^i_1 \\ \tau^i_2 \\ \tau^i_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8.5}$$

Since $\tau^i_a \equiv 0 \mod \theta^k$ $(k = 1, \cdots 4n)$ by (4.24), note that

$${\nu'}^i_a \equiv 0 \bmod \theta^k. \tag{8.6}$$

Using (8.4) and (8.5),

$$\sum_a \omega_a\wedge\tau^i_a = ({\omega'}_1, {\omega'}_2, {\omega'}_3)\wedge \begin{pmatrix} {\nu'}^i_1 \\ {\nu'}^i_2 \\ {\nu'}^i_3 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_a {\omega'}_a\wedge{\nu'}^i_a,$$

the equation (4.21) becomes

$$d\theta^i = \theta^j\wedge\varphi^i_j + \sum_a {\omega'}_a\wedge{\nu'}^i_a. \tag{8.7}$$

Differentiate (8.7), and then substitute (8.3), we obtain that

$$\theta^j\wedge(d\varphi^i_j - \varphi^\sigma_j\wedge\varphi^i_\sigma + u^2\mathbf{J'}^1_{jk}\theta^k\wedge{\nu'}^i_1 + u^2\mathbf{J'}^2_{jk}\theta^k\wedge{\nu'}^i_2 + u^2\mathbf{J'}^3_{jk}\theta^k\wedge{\nu'}^i_3) \equiv 0 \bmod \omega_\alpha. \tag{8.8}$$

Taking into account (8.8) (which corresponds to (5.5)), we have the fourth-order tensor up to the terms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$:

$$\frac{1}{2}{T'}^i_{jk\ell}\theta^k\wedge\theta^\ell \equiv d\varphi^i_j - \varphi^\sigma_j\wedge\varphi^i_\sigma + \sum_a u^2\cdot\mathbf{J'}^a_{jk}\theta^k\wedge{\nu'}^i_a - \theta^i\wedge\theta_j. \tag{8.9}$$

Since $({I'}_{ij}, {J'}_{ij}, {K'}_{ij}) = (I_{ij}, J_{ij}, K_{ij})A$ from (8.1) and (8.5),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_a u^2\cdot\mathbf{J'}^a_{jk}\theta^k\wedge{\nu'}^i_a &= \theta^k\wedge u^2({I'}_{jk}, {J'}_{jk}, {K'}_{jk}) \begin{pmatrix} {\nu'}^i_1 \\ {\nu'}^i_2 \\ {\nu'}^i_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \theta^k\wedge(I_{jk}, J_{jk}, K_{jk}) \begin{pmatrix} \tau^i_1 \\ \tau^i_2 \\ \tau^i_3 \end{pmatrix} = \theta^k\wedge\sum_a \mathbf{J}^a_{jk}\tau^i_a.\end{aligned}$$

The equation (8.9) can be reduced to the following:

$${T'}^i_{jk\ell}\theta^k\wedge\theta^\ell \equiv d\varphi^i_j - \varphi^\sigma_j\wedge\varphi^i_\sigma + \theta^k\wedge\sum_a \mathbf{J}^a_{jk}\tau^i_a - \theta^i\wedge\theta_j. \tag{8.10}$$

From (5.9) and (5.6), we have shown

Proposition 8.1. *If $\omega' = \lambda\cdot\omega\cdot\bar{\lambda}$ for which ω is a quaternionic CR structure, then the curvature tensor T' satisfies that ${T'}^i_{jk\ell} = T^i_{jk\ell}$. In particular, $T = (T^i_{jk\ell})$ is an invariant tensor under the pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure.*

Remark 8.2. **1.** Similarly, the quaternionic structures $\{I', J', K'\}$ extends to almost complex structures $\{\bar{I}', \bar{J}', \bar{K}'\}$ respectively.

2. Let $f \in \mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(M)$ be an element satisfying (6.12). Then,
$f_* e_i = uU_i^k e_k$. Using (8.2),

$$\begin{aligned} If_* e_i &= uU_i^k I_k^j e_j = u(a_{11} I_i^m + a_{21} J_i^m + a_{31} K_i^m) U_m^j e_j \\ &= f_*((a_{11} I_i^m + a_{21} J_i^m + a_{31} K_i^m) e_m) \\ &= f_*((a_{11} I + a_{21} J + a_{31} K) e_i). \end{aligned}$$

The similar argument to J, K yields that

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_*^{-1} I f_* \\ f_*^{-1} J f_* \\ f_*^{-1} K f_* \end{pmatrix} = {}^t A \begin{pmatrix} I \\ J \\ K \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}. \tag{8.11}$$

8.1. **Formula of Curvature tensor.** We shall find a formula of the tensor T. Substitute (4.25), (4.24) into (8.10):

$$\begin{aligned} T^i_{jk\ell} \theta^k \wedge \theta^\ell &= d(\omega^i_j + \sum_a (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_j \omega_a) - (\omega^\sigma_j + \sum_a (\mathbf{J}^a)^\sigma_j \omega_a) \wedge (\omega^i_\sigma + \sum_a (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_\sigma \omega_a) \\ &\quad + \theta^k \wedge (I_{jk} \cdot I^i_\ell \theta^\ell + J_{jk} \cdot J^i_\ell \theta^\ell + K_{jk} \cdot K^i_\ell \theta^\ell) - \theta^i \wedge \theta_j \mod \omega_a \\ &= d\omega^i_j + \sum_a (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_j d\omega_a - \omega^\sigma_j \wedge \omega^i_\sigma + \sum_a (\mathbf{J}^a_{jk} (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_\ell) \theta^k \wedge \theta^\ell - \theta^i \wedge \theta_j \mod \omega_a \\ &= (d\omega^i_j - \omega^\sigma_j \wedge \omega^i_\sigma) \\ &\quad + \sum_a (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_j (-\mathbf{J}^a_{k\ell}) \theta^k \wedge \theta^\ell + \sum_a (\mathbf{J}^a_{jk} (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_\ell) \theta^k \wedge \theta^\ell - \theta^i \wedge \theta_j \mod \omega_a \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} R^i_{jk\ell} - \sum_a (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_j \mathbf{J}^a_{k\ell} + \sum_a \mathbf{J}^a_{jk} (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_\ell - g_{j\ell} \cdot \delta^i_k \right) \theta^k \wedge \theta^\ell \mod \omega_a. \end{aligned}$$

By alternation, we have

$$T^i_{jk\ell} = R^i_{jk\ell} - \left(2 \sum_a (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_j \mathbf{J}^a_{k\ell} - \sum_a \mathbf{J}^a_{jk} (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_\ell + \sum_a \mathbf{J}^a_{j\ell} (\mathbf{J}^a)^i_k + (g_{j\ell} \delta^i_k - g_{jk} \delta^i_\ell) \right). \tag{8.12}$$

Recall the space of all curvature tensors $\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1))$. (See [1] for example.) It decomposes into the direct sum $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1)) \oplus \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{HP}}(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1))$ $(n \geq 2)$. Here $\mathcal{R}_0$ is the space of those curvatures with zero Ricci forms and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{HP}} \approx \mathbb{R}$ is the space of curvature tensors of the quaternionic pseudo-Kähler projective space $\mathbb{HP}^{p,q}$ (cf. Definition 3.2).

Case $\mathbf{n \geq 2}$. Since we know that $R^i_{ji\ell} = R_{j\ell} = (4n+8) g_{j\ell}$ from (5.3), the curvature tensor $T = (T^i_{jk\ell})$ satisfies the *tracefree* condition:

$$T_{j\ell} = (T^i_{ji\ell}) = (4n+8) g_{j\ell} - \Big(3 \cdot 3 g_{j\ell} + (4n-1) g_{j\ell} \Big) = 0.$$

This implies that our curvature tensor T belongs to $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1))$ when $n \geq 2$.

Case $\mathbf{n = 1}$. When $\dim M = 7$, either $p = 1, q = 0$ or $p = 0, q = 1$. Choose the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1,2,3,4}$ with $e_1 = e, e_2 = Ie, e_3 = Je, e_4 = Ke$. Form another curvature tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} R'^{i}_{jk\ell} = (g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell) + \Big[& I_{j\ell}I^i_k - I_{jk}I^i_\ell + 2I^i_j I_{k\ell} \\ & + J_{j\ell}J^i_k - J_{jk}J^i_\ell + 2J^i_j J_{k\ell} + K_{j\ell}K^i_k - K_{jk}K^i_\ell + 2K^i_j K_{k\ell}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

For any two distinct e_i, e_j,

$$\begin{aligned} R'^{i}_{jij} = (g_{jj}\delta^i_i - g_{ji}\delta^i_j) + \Big[& I_{ji}I^i_i - I_{ji}I^i_j + 2I_{ij}I^i_j + J_{ji}J^i_i - J_{ji}J^i_j + 2J^i_j J_{ij} \\ & + K_{ji}K^i_i - K_{ji}K^i_j + 2K^i_j K_{ij}\Big] = g_{jj} + 3\Big[I_{ij}I^i_j + J_{ij}J^i_j + K_{ij}K^i_j\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $i \neq j$ and e_j is either one of $\pm Ie_i, \pm Je_i, \pm Ke_i$, $I_{ij}^2 + J_{ij}^2 + K_{ij}^2 = 1$ (for example, if $e_j = Ie_i$, then ${I^i_j}^2 = 1$, $J^i_j = 0, K^i_j = 0$ so that $I_{ij}I^i_j = g_{jj}$.) Thus, $R'^{i}_{jij} = 4g_{jj}$. It follows from the Schur's theorem (cf. [19] for example) that

$$R'^{i}_{jk\ell} = 4(g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell). \tag{8.14}$$

When $n = 1$, we conclude that

$$T^i_{jk\ell} = R^i_{jk\ell} - R'^{i}_{jk\ell} = R^i_{jk\ell} - 4(g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell). \tag{8.15}$$

As the curvature $R^i_{jk\ell}$ satisfies the Einstein property from (5.3); $R_{j\ell} = 4 \cdot 3g_{j\ell}$, the scalar curvature $\sigma = 4 \cdot 12$. On the other hand, the curvature tensor $R^i_{jk\ell}$ has the decomposition:

$$R^i_{jk\ell} = W^i_{jk\ell} + \frac{4 \cdot 12}{4 \cdot 3}(g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell)$$

in the space $\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{SO}(4))$ where $\mathrm{SO}(4) = \mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. Hence,

$$T^i_{jk\ell} = W^i_{jk\ell} \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathrm{SO}(4)) \tag{8.16}$$

for which $W^i_{jk\ell}$ is the Weyl curvature tensor (of $(U/\mathcal{E}, \hat{g})$).

Case $\mathbf{n = 0}$. If $\dim M = 3$, then the above tensor is empty, so we simply set $T = 0$. Define the Riemannian metric on a neighborhood U of a 3-dimensional pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifold M:

$$g_x(X, Y) = \omega_1(X) \cdot \omega_1(Y) + \omega_2(X) \cdot \omega_2(Y) + \omega_3(X) \cdot \omega_3(Y) \tag{8.17}$$

($\forall\ X, Y \in T_xU$). Suppose that $\omega' = \lambda \cdot \omega \cdot \bar{\lambda}$. Since $(\omega'_1, \omega'_2, \omega'_3) = u^2 \cdot (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)A$ for $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$, the metric g changes into $g' = \omega'_1 \cdot \omega'_1 + \omega'_2 \cdot \omega'_2 + \omega'_3 \cdot \omega'_3$ satisfying that

$$g'_x(X, Y) = u^4 \cdot g_x(X, Y) \quad (\forall\ X, Y \in T_xU). \tag{8.18}$$

Then g' is conformal to g on U. Define $TW(\omega)$ to be the Weyl-Schouten tensor $TW(g)$ of the Riemannian metric g on U. Then, it turns out that

$$TW(\omega') = TW(\omega). \tag{8.19}$$

As a consequence, $TW(\omega)$ is an invariant tensor of U under the change $\omega' = \lambda \cdot \omega \cdot \bar{\lambda}$.

9. Uniformization of pseudo-conformal QCR structure

If $\{\omega^{(\alpha)}, (I^{(\alpha)}, J^{(\alpha)}, K^{(\alpha)}), g_{(\alpha)}, U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ is a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure on M where $\underset{\alpha\in\Lambda}{\cup} U_\alpha = M$, then we have the curvature tensor $T^{(\alpha)} = ({}^{(\alpha)}T^{i}_{jk\ell})$ on each $(U_\alpha, \omega^{(\alpha)})$ $(n \geq 1)$. Similarly, $TW^{(\alpha)} = TW(\omega^{(\alpha)})$ on $(U_\alpha, \omega^{(\alpha)})$ for 3-dimensional case $(n = 0)$. Then it follows from Proposition 8.1 and (8.19) that if $\omega^{(\beta)} = \lambda_{\alpha\beta}\cdot\omega^{(\alpha)}\cdot\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(\alpha)} &= T^{(\beta)}, \\ TW^{(\alpha)} &= TW^{(\beta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

By setting $T|U_\alpha = T^{(\alpha)}$ (respectively $TW|U_\alpha = TW^{(\alpha)}$), the curvature T (respectively TW) is globally defined on a $(4n+3)$-dimensional pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifold M $(n \geq 0)$. This concludes that

Theorem 9.1. *Let M be a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifold of dimension $4n + 3$ $(n \geq 0)$. If $n \geq 1$, there exists the fourth-order curvature tensor $T = (T^i_{jk\ell})$ on M satisfying that:*

- (i) *When $n \geq 2$, $T = (T^i_{jk\ell}) \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1))$ which has the formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} T^i_{jk\ell} = R^i_{jk\ell} - \Big\{(g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell) + \Big[&I_{j\ell}I^i_k - I_{jk}I^i_\ell + 2I^i_jI_{k\ell} \\ &+J_{j\ell}J^i_k - J_{jk}J^i_\ell + 2J^i_jJ_{k\ell} + K_{j\ell}K^i_k - K_{jk}K^i_\ell + 2K^i_jK_{k\ell}\Big]\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) *When $n = 1$, $T = (W^i_{jk\ell}) \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathrm{SO}(4))$ which has the same formula as the Weyl conformal curvature tensor.*

If $n = 0$, there exists the fourth-order curvature tensor TW on M which has the same formula as the Weyl-Schouten curvature tensor.

We associated to a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure $(\{\omega_a\}, \{J_a\}, \{\xi_a\})_{a=1,2,3}$ the pseudo-Sasakian metric $g = \displaystyle\sum_{a=1}^{3}\omega_a\cdot\omega_a + \pi^*\hat{g}$ on U for which $\mathcal{E}\to(U,g) \xrightarrow{\pi} (U/\mathcal{E}, \hat{g})$ is a pseudo-Riemannian submersion and the quotient $(U/\mathcal{E}, \hat{g}, \{\hat{I}_i, \hat{J}_i, \hat{K}_i\}_{i\in\Lambda})$ is a quaternionic pseudo-Kähler manifold by Theorem 4.6. Let ${}^{(g)}R^i_{jk\ell}$ (respectively $\hat{R}^i_{jk\ell}$) denote the curvature tensor of g (respectively $\hat{g}$). If $R_{\mathbb{HP}}$ is the generator of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{HP}}(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)) \approx \mathbb{R}$ $(n \geq 2)$, then it can be described as (cf. [1]):

$$R_{\mathbb{HP}} = (g_{j\ell}g_{ik} - g_{jk}g_{i\ell}) + \sum_{a=1}^{3}\mathbf{J}^a_{j\ell}\mathbf{J}^a_{ik} - \sum_{a=1}^{3}\mathbf{J}^a_{jk}\mathbf{J}^a_{i\ell} + 2\sum_{a=1}^{3}\mathbf{J}^a_{ij}\mathbf{J}^a_{k\ell} \tag{9.2}$$

where i, j, k, ℓ run over $\{1, \cdots, 4n\}$. Then the formula (12.8) of curvature tensor of g [29] $(n \geq 1)$ shows the following.

Lemma 9.2.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*\hat{R}_{ijk\ell} &= {}^{(g)}R_{ijk\ell} + \left(\sum_{a=1}^{3}\mathbf{J}^a_{j\ell}\mathbf{J}^a_{ik} - \sum_{a=1}^{3}\mathbf{J}^a_{jk}\mathbf{J}^a_{i\ell} + 2\sum_{a=1}^{3}\mathbf{J}^a_{ij}\mathbf{J}^a_{k\ell}\right) \\ &= {}^{(g)}R_{ijk\ell} - (g_{j\ell}\delta_{ik} - g_{jk}\delta_{i\ell}) + R_{\mathbb{HP}}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.3}$$

We now state the uniformization theorem.

Theorem 9.3. **(1)** *Let M be a $(4n+3)$-dimensional pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifold $(n \geq 1)$. If the curvature tensor T vanishes, then M is locally modelled on $S^{4p+3,4q}$ with respect to the group* $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$.

(2) *If M is a 3-dimensional pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifold whose curvature tensor TW vanishes, then M is conformally flat i.e.*(*locally modelled on S^3 with respect to the group* $\mathrm{PSp}(1,1)$).

Proof. Using (5.2) and (9.2), the formula of Theorem 9.1 becomes

$$T^i_{jk\ell} = \pi^*\hat{R}^i_{jk\ell} - R_{\mathbb{HP}}. \tag{9.4}$$

Compared this with (9.3), we obtain that

$$T^i_{jk\ell} = {}^{(g)}R^i_{jk\ell} - (g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell). \tag{9.5}$$

The equality (9.5) is also true for $n=1$. In fact, when $n=1$, $R_{\mathbb{HP}} = 4(g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell)$ (cf. (8.13), (8.14)) and from (9.3), ${}^{(g)}R^i_{jk\ell} - (g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell) = \pi^*\hat{R}^i_{jk\ell} - R_{\mathbb{HP}} = T^i_{jk\ell}$ by (8.15).

Suppose that T (respectively TW) vanishes identically on M. First we show that M is locally isomorphic to $S^{4p+3,4q}$ (respectively M is locally isomorphic to S^3.) As $T|U_\alpha = ({}^{(\alpha)}T^i_{jk\ell}) = 0$ on U_α, for brevity, we omit α so that $T = (T^i_{jk\ell})$ vanishes identically on U for $n \geq 2$. As a consequence,

$$ {}^{(g)}R^i_{jk\ell} = g_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - g_{jk}\delta^i_\ell \ \text{ on } \mathcal{D}|U. \tag{9.6}$$

Since (U,g) is a pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure with Killing fields $\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3\}$, the normality of (4.19) can be stated as ${}^{(g)}R(X,\xi_a)Y = g(X,Y)\xi_a - g(\xi_a,Y)X$ (cf. [29]). It turns out that

$$ {}^{(g)}R(\xi_a,X,Y,Z) = g(X,Z)g(\xi_a,Y) - g(X,Y)g(\xi_a,Z) \tag{9.7}$$

$(\forall X,Y,Z \in TU)$. Then (9.6) and (9.7) imply that (U,g) is the space of positive constant curvature. As $\hat{R}^i_{jk\ell} = R_{\mathbb{HP}}$ by (9.4), the quotient space $(U/\mathcal{E},\hat{g})$ is locally isometric to the quaternionic pseudo-Kähler projective space $(\mathbb{HP}^{p,q},\hat{g}_0)$. (Note that if $T^i_{jk\ell} = 0$ for $n=1$, then $\pi^*\hat{R}^i_{jk\ell} = R^i_{jk\ell} = 4(\delta_{j\ell}\delta^i_k - \delta_{jk}\delta^i_\ell)$ from (8.15). When $p=1, q=0$, the base space $(U/\mathcal{E},\hat{g})$ is locally isometric to the standard sphere S^4 which is identified with the 1-dimensional quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{HP}^1$. If $p=0, q=1$, then $(U/\mathcal{E},\hat{g})$ is locally isometric to the quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^1_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{HP}^{0,1}$ in which we remark that the metric $\hat{g}$ is negative definite.) Hence, the bundle: $\mathcal{E}\to(U,g) \xrightarrow{\pi} (U/\mathcal{E},\hat{g})$ is locally isometric to the Hopf bundle as the Riemannian submersion $(n \geq 1)$ (cf. Theorem 3.4):

$$\mathrm{Sp}(1)\to(\Sigma^{4p+3,4q}_{\mathbb{H}}, g_0)\longrightarrow(\mathbb{HP}^{p,q},\hat{g}_0).$$

This is obviously true for $n=0$.

Let $\varphi : (U,g)\to(\Sigma^{4p+3,4q}_{\mathbb{H}}, g_0)$ be an isometric immersion preserving the above principal bundle. If $V_0 = \{\xi^0_1,\xi^0_2,\xi^0_3\}$ is the distribution of Killing vector fields which generates $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ of the above Hopf bundle, then we can assume that $\varphi_*\xi_a = \xi^0_a$ $(a=1,2,3)$ (by a composite of some element of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ if necessary). As $\omega_a(X) = g(\xi_a,X)$ $(X \in TU)$ and $\omega^0_a(X) = g_0(\xi_a,X)$ $(X \in T\Sigma^{4p+3,4q}_{\mathbb{H}})$ respectively, the equality $g = \varphi^*g_0$ implies that

$$\omega_a = \varphi^*\omega^0_a \ \ (a=1,2,3), \quad \omega = \varphi^*\omega_0. \tag{9.8}$$

If we represent $\varphi^*\theta^i = \theta^k T^i_k + \sum_a \omega_a v^i_a$ for some matrix T^i_j and $v^i_a \in \mathbb{R}$, then the equality $\varphi_*\xi_a = \xi^0_a$ shows that $v^i_a = 0$ for $i = 1,\cdots,4n$. Thus,

$$\varphi^*\theta^i = \theta^k T^i_k. \tag{9.9}$$

For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we have an immersion $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{4p+3,4q}$ as above so that there is a collection of charts $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ on M. Put $g_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\beta \circ {\varphi_\alpha}^{-1} : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ when $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$. It suffices to prove that $g_{\alpha\beta}$ extends uniquely to an element of $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1, q+1) = \mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(S^{4p+3,4q})$. Suppose that

$$\omega^{(\beta)} = \lambda \cdot \omega^{(\alpha)} \cdot \overline{\lambda} = u^2 \cdot a \cdot \omega^{(\alpha)} \cdot \bar{a} \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset \tag{9.10}$$

where $\lambda = u \cdot a$. The immersions $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{4p+3,4q}$, $\varphi_\beta : U_\beta \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}^{4p+3,4q}$ satisfy $\omega^{(\alpha)} = \varphi_\alpha^* \omega_0$, $\omega^{(\beta)} = \varphi_\beta^* \omega_0$ as in (9.8). If we put $\mu = \lambda \circ {\varphi_\alpha}^{-1}$ on $\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$, then the above relation shows that

$$g_{\alpha\beta}^* \omega_0 = \mu \cdot \omega_0 \cdot \bar{\mu}. \tag{9.11}$$

Using the equation that $d\omega_a^{(\alpha)}(X, Y) = g^{(\alpha)}(X, J_a^{(\alpha)} Y)$ ($\forall\, X, Y \in \mathcal{D}, a = 1, 2, 3$) from (1.2), calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_a^0(\varphi_{\alpha *} J_a^{(\alpha)} X, \varphi_{\alpha *} Y) &= d\omega_a(J_a^{(\alpha)} X, Y) = g^{(\alpha)}(X, Y) \\ &= g_0(\varphi_{\alpha *} X, \varphi_{\alpha *} Y) = d\omega_a^0(J_a^0 \varphi_{\alpha *} X, \varphi_{\alpha *} Y). \end{aligned}$$

As $d\omega_a^0$ is nondegenerate on $\mathcal{D}$, for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we have

$$\varphi_{\alpha *} \circ J_a^{(\alpha)} = J_a^0 \circ \varphi_{\alpha *} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D} \quad (a = 1, 2, 3). \tag{9.12}$$

Let $\varphi_\alpha^* \theta^i = \theta_{(\alpha)}^k \cdot {}^{(\alpha)}T_k^i$ for some matrix ${}^{(\alpha)}T_k^i$ as in (9.9). Then (9.12) means that ${}^{(\alpha)}T_i^k \cdot (J^a)_k^j = (J^a)_i^k \cdot {}^{(\alpha)}T_k^j$, which implies that ${}^{(\alpha)}T_k^i \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$. As $g^{(\alpha)}(X, Y) = g_0(\varphi_{\alpha *} X, \varphi_{\alpha *} Y)$ from (9.8), this reduces to

$${}^{(\alpha)}T_k^i \in \mathrm{Sp}(p, q). \tag{9.13}$$

Let $\{\omega_{(\alpha)}, \omega_{(\alpha)}^i\}_{i=1,\cdots,n}$, $\{\omega_{(\beta)}, \omega_{(\beta)}^i\}_{i=1,\cdots,n}$ be two coframes on the intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ where $\omega_{(\alpha)}$ is a $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$-valued 1-form and each $\omega_{(\alpha)}^i$ is a $\mathbb{H}$-valued 1-form, simlarly for β. Noting (9.10), the coordinate change of the fiber $\mathbb{H}^n$ satisfies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{(\beta)} \\ \omega_{(\beta)}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{(\beta)}^n \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & 0 \\ \hline \tilde{v}^i & U' \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{(\alpha)} \\ \omega_{(\alpha)}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{(\alpha)}^n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \bar{\lambda}. \tag{9.14}$$

In order to transform them into the real forms, recall that $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \cdot \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{H})$ is the maximal closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(4n, \mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ preserving the standard quaternionic structure $\{I, J, K\}$. For each fiber of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ $(= \mathcal{D}_\beta)$, there exists a matrix $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{U}_j^i) = U' \cdot \lambda \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \cdot \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{H})$ such that:

$$e_j^{(\alpha)} = \tilde{U}_j^i e_i^{(\beta)}. \tag{9.15}$$

with respect to the basis $\{e_i^{(\alpha)}\}_x \in (\mathcal{D}_\alpha)_x$, $\{e_i^{(\beta)}\}_x \in (\mathcal{D}_\beta)_x$. From Corollary 1.2,

$$\pm u^2 \delta_{k\ell} = u^2 g_{(\alpha)}(e_k^{(\alpha)}, e_\ell^{(\alpha)}) = g_{(\beta)}(\tilde{U}_k^i e_i^{(\beta)}, \tilde{U}_\ell^j e_j^{(\beta)}) = \pm \delta_{ij} \tilde{U}_k^i \tilde{U}_\ell^j,$$

so $(u^{-1} \tilde{U}_k^i) \in \mathrm{Sp}(p, q) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1) = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \cdot \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{H}) \cap \mathrm{SO}(4p, 4q)$ up to conjugacy $(n \geq 1)$. Put $U = (U_k^i) = (u^{-1} \tilde{U}_k^i) \in \mathrm{Sp}(p, q) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, then

$$\tilde{U} = uU = (uU_k^i) \in \mathrm{Sp}(p, q) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{9.16}$$

Using coframes $\{\theta^i_{(\alpha)}\}, \{\theta^i_{(\beta)}\}$ (induced from $\{\omega^i_{(\alpha)}, \omega^i_{(\beta)}\}_{i=1,\cdots,n}$), the equation (9.15) translates into $\theta^i_{(\beta)} = \theta^k_{(\alpha)}\tilde{U}^i_k$ on $\mathcal{D}$. Using (9.14), it follows that

$$\theta^i_{(\beta)} = \theta^k_{(\alpha)}\tilde{U}^i_k + \sum_{a=1}^{3} \omega^{(\alpha)}_a \cdot v^i_a \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

Here v^i_a are determined by $\tilde{v}^i$, see (6.12). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} {g_{\alpha\beta}}^*(\theta^i) &= ({\varphi_\alpha}^{-1})^*{\varphi_\beta}^*(\theta^i) = ({\varphi_\alpha}^{-1})^*(\theta^j_{(\beta)} \cdot {}^{(\beta)}T^i_j) \\ &= ({\varphi_\alpha}^{-1})^*\left((\theta^k_{(\alpha)}\tilde{U}^j_k + \sum_{a=1}^{3}\omega^{(\alpha)}_a \cdot v^j_a) \cdot {}^{(\beta)}T^i_j \right) \qquad (9.17) \\ &= \theta^\ell \cdot ({}^{(\alpha)}T^{-1})^k_\ell \tilde{U}^j_k \cdot {}^{(\beta)}T^i_j + \sum_{a=1}^{3}\omega^0_a \cdot (v^j_a \cdot {}^{(\beta)}T^i_j). \end{aligned}$$

If we put $S = (S^i_\ell) = (({}^{(\alpha)}T^{-1})^k_\ell \cdot \tilde{U}^j_k \cdot {}^{(\beta)}T^i_j)$, then (9.16) and (9.13) imply $S \in \mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)\times\mathbb{R}^+$. Therefore, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the conditions of (6.12) from (9.11), (9.17). Then the diffeomorphism $g_{\alpha\beta} : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ is viewed locally as an element of $\mathrm{Aut}_{QCR}(S^{4p+3,4q}) = \mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$ because $\Sigma^{4p+3,4q}_{\mathbb{H}} \subset S^{4p+3,4q}$. As $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$ acts real analytically on $S^{4p+3,4q}$, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ extends uniquely to an element of $\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1)$. Therefore, the collection of charts $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ gives rise to a uniformization of a pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR manifold M with respect to $(\mathrm{PSp}(p+1,q+1), S^{4p+3,4q})$.

Recall the 3-dimensional conformal geometry $(\mathrm{PO}(4,1), S^3)$ for which the orthogonal Lorentz group $\mathrm{PO}(4,1)$ is isomorphic as a Lie group to $\mathrm{PSp}(1,1)$. Then the same is true for $(\mathrm{PSp}(1,1), S^3) = (\mathrm{PO}(4,1), S^3)$ $(n=0)$.

□

10. Quaternionic bundle

It is known that the first Stiefel-Whitney class is the obstruction to the existence of a global 1-form of the contact structure and the first Chern class is the obstruction to the existence of a global 1-form of the complex contact structure respectively. It is natural to ask whether the first Pontrjagin class $p_1(M)$ is the obstruction to the existence of global 1-form of the pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure (respectively pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure) on a $(4n+3)$-manifold M $(n \geq 1)$. In order to see that, we need the elementary properties of the quaternionic bundle theory. However our structure group is $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})\cdot\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})$ but not $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})$. To our knowledge the fundamental properties of the bundle theory in this case are not proved explicitly. So we prepare the necessary facts. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the $4n$-dimensional bundle defined by $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ where $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \mathcal{D}|U_\alpha = \mathrm{Null}\ \omega^{(\alpha)}$ in which there is the relation on the intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$:

$$\omega^{(\beta)} = \bar{\lambda}\cdot\omega^{(\alpha)}\cdot\lambda = u^2\cdot\bar{a}\omega^{(\beta)}\cdot a \quad \text{where } \lambda = u\cdot a \in \mathbb{H}^*. \qquad (10.1)$$

We have already discussed the transition functions on $\mathcal{D}$ in §9.14 (cf. (9)). In fact,

The gluing condition of the quaternionic bundle $\mathcal{D}$ in $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} v^{(\alpha)}_1 \\ \vdots \\ v^{(\alpha)}_n \end{pmatrix} = uT \begin{pmatrix} v^{(\beta)}_1 \\ \vdots \\ v^{(\beta)}_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot a,$$

in which $u(T\cdot\bar{a})\in \mathrm{Sp}(n)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)\times\mathbb{R}^+$.

Definition 10.1. *A quaternionic n-dimensional bundle is a vector bundle over a paracompact Hausdorff space M with fiber isomorphic to the n-dimensional quaternionic vector space $\mathbb{H}^n$. For an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ of M, if $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta\neq\emptyset$, then there exists a transition function $g_{\alpha\beta}: U_\alpha\cap U_\beta\rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})\cdot\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})$.*

As a consequence, $\mathcal{D}$ is a quaternionic n-dimensional bundle on M.

As $\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})\cdot\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})\approx \mathrm{SO}(4)\times\mathbb{R}^+$, the quaternionic line bundle is isomorphic to an oriented real 4-dimensional bundle. Define the inner product $\langle,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ by

$$\langle z,w\rangle=\bar{z}_1w_1+\cdots+\bar{z}_nw_n.$$

Then, $\langle,\rangle$ satisfies that $\langle z,w\cdot\lambda\rangle=\langle z,w\rangle\cdot\lambda$, $\langle z\cdot\lambda,w\rangle=\bar{\lambda}\langle z,w\rangle$, $\langle z,w\rangle=\overline{\langle w,z\rangle}$ for $\lambda\in\mathbb{H}$, and so on. By a subspace W in $\mathbb{H}^n$ we mean a right $\mathbb{H}$-module. Choose $v_0\in\mathbb{H}^n$. Let $V=\{v_0\cdot\lambda\mid\lambda\in\mathbb{H}\}$ be a 1-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{H}^n$. Denote $V^\perp=\{v\in\mathbb{H}^n|\ \langle v,x\rangle=0,\forall\ x\in V\}$. Then it is easy to check that $V^\perp$ is a right $\mathbb{H}$-module for which there is a decomposition: $\mathbb{H}^n=V\oplus V^\perp$ as a right $\mathbb{H}$-module. The following is a quaternionic analogue of the splitting theorem.

Proposition 10.2. *Given a quaternionic n-dimensional bundle ξ, there exists a quaternionic line bundle ξ_i $(i=1,\cdots,n)$ over a paracompact Hausdorff space N and a (splitting) map $f: N\rightarrow M$ for which:*

(1) $f^*\xi=\xi_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\xi_n$.
(2) $f^*: H^*(M)\rightarrow H^*(N)$ *is injective. Moreover,*
(3) *The bundle isomorphism $b:\xi_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\xi_n\rightarrow\xi$ compatible with f can be chosen to preserve the inner product.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{H}^n-\{0\}\rightarrow\xi_0\xrightarrow{\pi}M$ be the subbundle of ξ consisting of nonzero sections. Noting that $\mathbb{H}^n$ is a right $\mathbb{H}$-module, it induces a fiber bundle with fiber the quaternionic n-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{HP}^{n-1}$:

$$\mathbb{HP}^{n-1}\rightarrow Q\xrightarrow{q}M.$$

Since the cohomology group $H^*(\mathbb{HP}^{n-1};\mathbb{Z})$ is a free abelian group, $q^*: H^*(M)\rightarrow H^*(Q)$ is injective by the Leray-Hirsch's theorem (cf. [20].) Put

$$q^*\xi=\{(\ell,v)\in Q\times\xi\mid q(\ell)=\pi(v)\}.$$

Then, $(q^*\xi,\mathrm{pr},Q)$ is a quaternionic bundle. Let $\xi_1=\{(\ell,v)\in q^*\xi\mid v\in\ell\}$ which is the 1-dimensional quaternionic subbundle of $q^*\xi$. Choose a (right) $\mathbb{H}$-inner product on ξ. Then it induces a (right) $\mathbb{H}$-inner product on $q^*\xi$ such that the bundle projection $\mathrm{Pr}: q^*\xi\rightarrow\xi$ preserves the inner product obviously. Moreover, we obtain that

$$q^*\xi=\xi_1\oplus{\xi_1}^\perp.$$

Since ${\xi_1}^\perp$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional quaternionic bundle over Q, an induction for $n-1$ implies that there exist a paracompact Hausdorff space N and a splitting map $f_1: N\rightarrow Q$ such that $f_1^*\xi_1^\perp=\xi_2\oplus\cdots\oplus\xi_n$ and $f_1^*: H^*(Q)\rightarrow H^*(N)$ is injective. If $b_1:\xi_2\oplus\cdots\oplus\xi_n\rightarrow{\xi_1}^\perp$ is the bundle map compatible with f_1, then by induction b_1 preserves the inner product on the fiber between $\xi_2\oplus\cdots\oplus\xi_n$ and ${\xi_1}^\perp$. Putting $f=q\circ f_1: N\rightarrow M$, we see that $f^*: H^*(M)\rightarrow H^*(N)$ is injective and $f^*\xi=f_1^*\xi_1\oplus\xi_2\oplus\cdots\oplus\xi_n$. Let $\mathrm{Pr}_1\times b_1: f_1^*\xi_1\oplus(\xi_2\oplus\cdots\oplus\xi_n)\rightarrow\xi_1\oplus\xi_1^\perp$ be the bundle map.

Then the map $\mathrm{Pr}\circ(\mathrm{Pr}_1\times b_1) : f_1^*\xi_1\oplus\xi_2\oplus\cdots\oplus\xi_n\longrightarrow\xi$ is compatible with f and preserves the inner product. This proves the induction step for n.

□

Let ξ be a quaternionic line bundle over M with gluing condition on $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta$:

$$z_\alpha=\bar{\lambda}(x)z_\beta\mu(x)=u(x)\cdot\bar{b}(x)z_\beta a(x)\quad(u>0,a,b\in\mathrm{Sp}(1)). \tag{10.2}$$

Consider the tensor $\bar{\xi}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\xi$ so that the gluing condition on $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{z}_\alpha\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}z_\alpha)&=u^2(x)\bar{a}(x)(\bar{z}_\beta b(x)\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\bar{b}(x)z_\beta)a(x)\\&=u^2(x)\bar{a}(x)(\bar{z}_\beta\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}z_\beta)a(x).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\bar{\xi}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\xi$ is a quaternionic line bundle over M whenever ξ is a quaternionic line bundle.

Lemma 10.3. *If $\bar{\xi}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\xi$ is viewed as a 4-dimensional real vector bundle, then $p_1(\bar{\xi}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\xi)=p_1(\bar{\xi})+p_1(\xi)$. Moreover, $p_1(\bar{\xi})=p_1(\xi)$ so that $p_1(\bar{\xi}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\xi)=2p_1(\xi)$.*

Proof. Let γ be the canonical 4-dimensional real vector bundle over $B\mathrm{SO}(4)$ (cf. [20]). Then, ξ is determined by a classifying map $f: M\rightarrow B\mathrm{SO}(4)$ such that $f^*\gamma=\xi$. Let $\mathrm{pr}_i : B\mathrm{SO}(4)\times B\mathrm{SO}(4)\rightarrow B\mathrm{SO}(4)$ be the projection ($i=1,2$). As γ inherits a quaternionic structure from ξ through the bundle map, there is a quaternionic line bundle $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\bar{\gamma}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\mathrm{pr}_2^*\gamma$ over $B\mathrm{SO}(4)\times B\mathrm{SO}(4)$. Now, let $h: B\mathrm{SO}(4)\times B\mathrm{SO}(4)\rightarrow B\mathrm{SO}(4)$ be a classifying map of this bundle so that $h^*\gamma=\mathrm{pr}_1^*\bar{\gamma}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\mathrm{pr}_2^*\gamma$. When $\iota_i : B\mathrm{SO}(4)\rightarrow B\mathrm{SO}(4)\times B\mathrm{SO}(4)$ is the inclusion map on each factor, $\iota_1^*\mathrm{pr}_2^*\gamma$ is the trivial quaternionic line bundle (we simply put $\theta^1_{\mathbf{h}}$) and so $\iota_1^*h^*p_1(\gamma)=\iota_1^*p_1(\mathrm{pr}_1^*\bar{\gamma}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\mathrm{pr}_2^*\gamma)=p_1(\bar{\gamma}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\theta^1_{\mathbf{h}})=p_1(\bar{\gamma})$. Similarly, $\iota_2^*h^*p_1(\gamma)=p_1(\gamma)$. Hence we obtain that

$$h^*p_1(\gamma)=p_1(\bar{\gamma})\times1+1\times p_1(\gamma).$$

Let $f': M\rightarrow B\mathrm{SO}(4)$ be a classifying map for $\bar{\xi}$ such that ${f'}^*\gamma=\bar{\xi}$. Then the map $h(f'\times f)d$ composed of the diagonal map $d: M\rightarrow M\times M$ satisfies that

$$(h(f'\times f)d)^*\gamma={f'}^*\bar{\gamma}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}f^*\gamma=\bar{\xi}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\xi.$$

Therefore, $p_1(\bar{\xi}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\xi)=d^*(f'\times f)^*(p_1(\bar{\gamma})\times1+1\times p_1(\gamma))=p_1({f'}^*\bar{\gamma})+p_1(f^*\gamma)=p_1(\bar{\xi})+p_1(\xi)$.

Next, the conjuagte $\bar{\xi}$ is isomorphic to ξ as real 4-dimensional vector bundle without orientation. But the correspondence $(1,\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k})\mapsto(1,-\boldsymbol{i},-\boldsymbol{j},-\boldsymbol{k})$ gives an isomorphism of $\bar{\xi}$ onto $(-1)^3\xi$. And so, the complexification $\bar{\xi}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\bar{\xi}$ (viewed as a real vector bundle) is isomorphic to $(-1)^6\xi_{\mathbb{C}}=\xi_{\mathbb{C}}$. By definition, $p_1(\bar{\xi})=p_1(\xi)$.

□

10.1. **Relation between Pontrjagin classes.** Suppose that $\{\omega^{(\alpha)},(I^{(\alpha)},J^{(\alpha)},K^{(\alpha)}),g_{(\alpha)},U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ represents a pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure $\mathcal{D}$ on a $(4n+3)$-dimensional manifold $M=\underset{\alpha\in\Lambda}{\cup}U_\alpha$. Let L be the quotient bundle $TM/\mathcal{D}$. Choose the local vector fields $\{\xi_1^{(\alpha)},\xi_2^{(\alpha)},\xi_3^{(\alpha)}\}$ on each neighborhood U_α such that $\omega_a^{(\alpha)}(\xi_b^{(\alpha)})=\delta_{ab}$. Then, $L|U_\alpha$ is spanned by $\{\xi_1^{(\alpha)}\}_{i=1,2,3}$ for each

$\alpha \in \Lambda$. Moreover, the gluing condition between $L|U_\alpha$ and $L|U_\beta$ is exactly given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^{(\alpha)} \\ \xi_2^{(\alpha)} \\ \xi_3^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix} = u^2 A \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^{(\beta)} \\ \xi_2^{(\beta)} \\ \xi_3^{(\beta)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10.3}$$

(Compare Definition 1.3.) It is easy to see that $\displaystyle\sum_{a=1}^{3} \omega_a^{(\alpha)} \cdot \xi_a^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{a=1}^{3} \omega_a^{(\beta)} \cdot \xi_a^{(\beta)}$. We can define a section $\theta : TM \to L$ which is an L-valued 1-form by setting

$$\theta|U_\alpha = \omega_1^{(\alpha)} \cdot \xi_1^{(\alpha)} + \omega_2^{(\alpha)} \cdot \xi_2^{(\alpha)} + \omega_3^{(\alpha)} \cdot \xi_3^{(\alpha)}. \tag{10.4}$$

Then there is an exact sequence of bundles: $1 \to \mathcal{D} \to TM \xrightarrow{\theta} L \to 1$.

Let E be the 1-dimensional quaternionic line bundle obtained from the union $\displaystyle\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Lambda} U_\alpha \times \mathbb{H}$ by identifying

$$(p, z_\alpha) \sim (q, z_\beta) \text{ if and only if } \begin{cases} p = q \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \\ z_\alpha = \lambda \cdot z_\beta \cdot \bar{\lambda} = u^2 a \cdot z_\beta \cdot \bar{a}. \end{cases} \tag{10.5}$$

If $L \oplus \theta$ is the Whitney sum composed of the trivial (real) line bundle θ on M, then it is easy to see that $L \oplus \theta$ is isomorphic to the 1-dimensional quaternionic line bundle E. Then the Pontrjagin class $p_1(E) = p_1(L \oplus \theta)$. We prove that

Theorem 10.4. *The first Pontrjagin classes of M and the bundle L has the relation:*

$$2p_1(M) = (n + 2)p_1(L \oplus \theta).$$

Proof. As $\mathcal{D}$ is a quaternionic bundle in our sense, there is a splitting map $f : N \to M$ such that $f^*\mathcal{D} = \xi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \xi_n$ from Proposition 10.2. Let $\Psi : \xi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \xi_n \to \mathcal{D}$ be a bundle map which is compatible with f. Since Ψ is a right $\mathbb{H}$-linear map on the fiber at each point $x \in N$, we can describe

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}_x = P(x) \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}_{f(x)}$$

for some function $P : N \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$. With respect to an appropriate inner product on $\mathcal{D}$ and the direct inner product on $\xi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \xi_n$, Ψ preserves the inner product between them by (3) of Theorem 10.2, which implies

$$P(x) \in \mathrm{Sp}(n). \tag{10.6}$$

We examine the gluing condition of each ξ_i on $f^{-1}(U_\alpha) \cap f^{-1}(U_\beta) \neq \emptyset$. For $x \in f^{-1}(U_\alpha) \cap f^{-1}(U_\beta)$, let $v_i^{(\alpha)} \in \xi_i | f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Suppose that there is an elemt $v_i^{(\beta)} \in \xi_i | f^{-1}(U_\beta)$ such that $v_i^{(\alpha)} \sim v_i^{(\beta)}$, i.e.

$$v_i^{(\alpha)} = \bar{\lambda}_i v_i^{(\beta)} \mu_i \ \ (\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{H}^*; i = 1, \cdots, n).$$

Since $\Psi(v_i^{(\alpha)})\sim\Psi(v_i^{(\beta)})$ at $f(x)$, it follows from (10.1) that $\Psi\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(\alpha)}\\ \vdots\\ v_n^{(\alpha)}\end{pmatrix}=uT\cdot\Psi\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(\beta)}\\ \vdots\\ v_n^{(\beta)}\end{pmatrix}\cdot a$ at $f(x)\in U_a\cap U_\beta$ where $T\in\mathrm{Sp}(n)$. As

$$P\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(\alpha)}\\ \vdots\\ v_n^{(\alpha)}\end{pmatrix}=\Psi\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(\alpha)}\\ \vdots\\ v_n^{(\alpha)}\end{pmatrix}=uT\cdot P\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(\beta)}\\ \vdots\\ v_n^{(\beta)}\end{pmatrix}\cdot a=P(uP^{-1}TP)\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(\beta)}\\ \vdots\\ v_n^{(\beta)}\end{pmatrix}\cdot a,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(\alpha)}\\ \vdots\\ v_n^{(\alpha)}\end{pmatrix}=u(P^{-1}TP\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(\beta)}\\ \vdots\\ v_n^{(\beta)}\end{pmatrix}\cdot a.$$

Since $v_i^{(\alpha)}=\bar\lambda_i v_i^{(\beta)}\mu_i$ as above, we have

$$(\mathbf{1})\quad u(x)P(x)^{-1}T(f(x))P(x)=\begin{pmatrix}\bar\lambda_1(x) & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \bar\lambda_n(x)\end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(\mathbf{2})\quad \begin{pmatrix}\mu_1(x) & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \mu_n(x)\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}a(x) & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & a(x)\end{pmatrix}.$$

From (10.6) and ($\mathbf{1}$), calculate

$$\begin{pmatrix}|\lambda_1|^2 & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \dots & |\lambda_n|^2\end{pmatrix}=u^2P^{-1}TP\circ P^*T^*P=u^2\mathrm{I}_n.$$

Hence, $\lambda_i=u\cdot\nu_i$ where $\nu_i=\lambda_i/|\lambda_i|\in\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. It follows from ($\mathbf{2}$) that $\mu_i=a$ for each i. We have that

$$v_i^{(\alpha)}=u(x)\cdot\bar\nu_i(x)v_i^{(\beta)}a(x)\quad(i=1,\cdots,n).\tag{10.7}$$

Therefore each ξ_i is a quaternionic line bundle over N with the above gluing condition (10.7) on $f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\cap f^{-1}(U_\beta)$. If we consider the tensor $\bar\xi_i\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\xi_i$, then the gluing condition on $f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\cap f^{-1}(U_\beta)$ is given by

$$(\bar v_i^{(\alpha)}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}v_i^{(\alpha)})=u^2(x)\bar a(x)(\bar v_i^{(\beta)}\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}v_i^{(\beta)})a(x).$$

In view of (10.5), we see that $f^*(L\oplus\theta^1)=\bar\xi_i\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}\xi_i$ $(i=1,\cdots,4n)$. By Lemma 10.3, $f^*p_1(L\oplus\theta^1)=2p_1(\xi_i)$ for each i. Since $f^*p_1(\mathcal{D})\equiv p_1(\xi_1)+\cdots+p_1(\xi_n)$ mod 2-torsion in $H^4(N;\mathbb{Z})$, $f^*(2p_1(\mathcal{D}))=2p_1(\xi_1)+\cdots+2p_1(\xi_n)=nf^*p_1(L\oplus\theta^1)=nf^*p_1(L)$. As f^* is injective, $2p_1(\mathcal{D})=np_1(L)$ in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Noting $TM=\mathcal{D}\oplus L$, we have $2p_1(M)=(n+2)p_1(L)$. □

Corollary 10.5. *Let $(M,\mathcal{D})$ be a $(4n+3)$-dimensional simply connected pseudo-conformal quaternionic manifold associated with the local forms $\{\omega^{(\alpha)},(I^{(\alpha)},J^{(\alpha)},K^{(\alpha)}),g_{(\alpha)},U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) $2p_1(M)=0$. *In particular, the rational Pontrjagin class vanishes.*

(2) *L is the trivial bundle so that $\{\xi_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ exists globally on M.*
(3) *There exists a global* Im$\mathbb{H}$*-valued* 1*-form ω on M which represents a pseudo-conformal quaternionic structure $\mathcal{D}$. In particular, there exists a hypercomplex structure $\{I, J, K\}$ on $\mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. First note that the Whitney sum $L \oplus \theta^1$ is the quaternionic line bundle E with structure group lying in $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. As above we have the quaternionic line bundle of ℓ-times tensor $\overset{\ell}{\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}} E$ with structure group $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Viewed as the 4-dimensional real vector bundle, it determines the classifying map $g : M \to B(\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{R}^+) = B\mathrm{SO}(3)$. Note that $p : B(\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+) \to B(\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ is the two-fold covering map. As M is simply connected by the hypothesis, the map g lifts to a classifying map $\tilde{g} : M \to B\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ such that $g = p \circ \tilde{g}$. Let γ be the 4-dimensional universal bundle over $B\mathrm{SO}(3)$. (Compare [20].) Then the pull back $p^*\gamma$ is the 4-dimensional canonical bundle over $B\mathrm{Sp}(1) = \mathbb{HP}^\infty$ whose first Pontrjagin class $p_1(p^*\gamma)$ generates the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{HP}^\infty; \mathbb{Z})$. So the bundle $\overset{\ell}{\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}} E$ is classified by the map $\tilde{g}$ where $[\tilde{g}] = \tilde{g}^* p_1(p^*\gamma) \in H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$, which coincides with $p_1(\overset{\ell}{\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}} E)$.

$1 \Rightarrow 2$. If $2p_1(M) = 0$, then Theorem 10.4 shows $(n+2)p_1(L) = 0$, i.e. $p_1((\overset{n+2}{\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}} E)) = 0$. (See Lemma 10.3.) Hence, the classifying map $\tilde{g} : M \to B\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ for $\overset{n+2}{\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}} E$ is null homotopic so that $\tilde{g}^* p^* \gamma = \overset{n+2}{\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}} E$ is trivial. There exists a family of functions $\{h_\alpha\} \in \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ such that the transition function $g_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \delta^1 h(\alpha, \beta)(x)$ $(x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$. As the gluing relation for $\overset{n+2}{\underset{\mathbb{H}}{\otimes}} E$ is given by $u_{\alpha\beta}^{2(n+2)} \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot z \cdot a_{\alpha\beta}$, letting $h_\alpha = a_\alpha \cdot u_\alpha \in \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$, it follows that

$$u_{\alpha\beta}^{2(n+2)} \cdot \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot z \cdot a_{\alpha\beta} = (h_\alpha^{-1} h_\beta) z = u_\alpha^{-1} u_\beta a_\alpha \bar{a}_\beta \cdot z \cdot a_\beta \bar{a}_\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{H}).$$

Then, $u_{\alpha\beta}^{2(n+2)} = u_\alpha^{-1} u_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $a_{\alpha\beta} = \pm a_\beta \bar{a}_\alpha$. As $u_{\alpha\beta} > 0$, $u_{\alpha\beta} = (u_\alpha^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2(n+2)}} \cdot u_\beta^{\frac{1}{2(n+2)}}$. Since the gluing relation of $E = L \oplus \theta$ is given by $z_\alpha = u_{\alpha\beta}^2 \cdot \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot z_\beta \cdot a_{\alpha\beta}$, putting ${u'}_\alpha = (u_\alpha)^{\frac{1}{(n+2)}}$, a calculation shows $z_\alpha = {u_{\alpha\beta}}^2 \cdot \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot z_\beta \cdot a_{\alpha\beta} = {{u'}_\alpha}^{-1} {u'}_\beta \cdot a_\alpha \bar{a}_\beta \cdot z_\beta \cdot a_\beta \bar{a}_\alpha$. Moreover if $C(\alpha) \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ is the matrix defined by $\bar{a}_\alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} \cdot a_\alpha = C(\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}$ (similarly for $C(\beta)$), then

$$u_{\alpha\beta}^2 \cdot A^{\alpha\beta} = {u'}_\alpha^{-1} {u'}_\beta \cdot C(\alpha)^{-1} \circ C(\beta). \tag{10.8}$$

Substitute this into (10.3), it follows that

$${u'}_\alpha \cdot C(\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^{(\alpha)} \\ \xi_2^{(\alpha)} \\ \xi_3^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix} = {u'}_\beta \cdot C(\beta) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^{(\beta)} \\ \xi_2^{(\beta)} \\ \xi_3^{(\beta)} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

We can define the vector fields $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ on M to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} \Big| U_\alpha = {u'}_\alpha \cdot C(\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^{(\alpha)} \\ \xi_2^{(\alpha)} \\ \xi_3^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10.9}$$

Then $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ spans L, therefore, L is trivial.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$. Since $(\omega_1^{(\beta)}, \omega_2^{(\beta)}, \omega_3^{(\beta)}) = (\omega_1^{(\alpha)}, \omega_2^{(\alpha)}, \omega_3^{(\alpha)})u_{\alpha\beta}^2 \cdot A^{\alpha\beta}$, (10.8) implies that

$$(\omega_1^{(\beta)}, \omega_2^{(\beta)}, \omega_3^{(\beta)}){u'}_{\beta}^{-1} \cdot C(\beta)^{-1} = (\omega_1^{(\alpha)}, \omega_2^{(\alpha)}, \omega_3^{(\alpha)}){u'}_{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot C(\alpha)^{-1} \text{ on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

Then, the $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$-valued 1-form ω on M can be defined by

$$\omega|U_\alpha = (\omega_1^{(\alpha)}, \omega_2^{(\alpha)}, \omega_3^{(\alpha)}){u'}_{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot C(\alpha)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10.10}$$

Note that ω satisfies that $\omega|U_\alpha = \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \cdot \omega^{(\alpha)} \cdot \lambda_\alpha$ for some function $\lambda_\alpha : U_\alpha \to \mathbb{H}^*$ $(\alpha \in \Lambda)$. Recall that two quaternionic structures on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ are related:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I^{(\alpha)} \\ J^{(\alpha)} \\ K^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix} = A^{\alpha\beta} \begin{pmatrix} I^{(\beta)} \\ J^{(\beta)} \\ K^{(\beta)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As $A^{\alpha\beta} = C(\alpha)^{-1} \circ C(\beta)$, it follows that

$$C(\alpha) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I^{(\alpha)} \\ J^{(\alpha)} \\ K^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix} = C(\beta) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I^{(\beta)} \\ J^{(\beta)} \\ K^{(\beta)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10.11}$$

Letting $\begin{pmatrix} I \\ J \\ K \end{pmatrix} |U_\alpha = C(\alpha) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I^{(\alpha)} \\ J^{(\alpha)} \\ K^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix}$, there exists a hypercomplex structure $\{I, J, K\}$ on $\mathcal{D}$.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$. If the global $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$-valued 1-form ω exists, then ω defines a three independent vector fields isomorphic to L, i.e. $p_1(L) = 0$.

$\square$

REFERENCES

[1] D. A. Alekseevsky, "Riemannian spaces with exceptional holonomy groups," *Functional Anal. Appl.* Vol. 2 (1968) 97–105.

[2] D. A. Alekseevsky and Y. Kamishima, "Quaternionic *CR* structure on (4n+3)-dimensional manifolds", *Preprint.*

[3] D. A. Alekseevsky and Y. Kamishima, "Quaternionic pseudo-conformal structures," *preprint.*

[4] D. A. Alekseevsky and Y. Kamishima, "Quaternionic and para-quaternionic *CR* structure on (4n+3)-dimensional manifolds", *Central European J. of Mathematics,*@vol. 2(5) 2004, 732–753.

[5] D.E. Blair, 'Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds Contact manifolds ,' *Progress in Math., 203 Birkhäuser*, 2002.

[6] O. Biquard, "Quaternionic structures in mathematics and physics,"Rome, 1999, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001; 23–30.

[7] C.P. Boyer, K.Galicki and B.M. Mann, "The geometry and topology of 3-Sasakian manifolds," *Jour. reine ange. Math.,* Vol. 455 (1994) 183–220.

[8] G. Bredon, 'Introduction to compact transformation groups,' *Academic Press, New York*, 1972.

[9] D. M. Burns and S. Shnider, "Spherical hypersurfaces in complex manifolds," *Invent. Math.*, Vol. 33 (1976) 223–246.

[10] S.S. Chen and L. Greenberg, 'Hyperbolic Spaces,' *Contribution to Analysis* (A Collection of Papers Dedicated to Lipman Bers, eds. L. Ahlfors and others), Academic Press, New York and London, (1974) 49–87.

[11] S.S. Chern and J. Moser, "Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds," *Acta Math.*, Vol. 133 (1974) 48–69.

[12] N. J. Hitchin, "The self-duality equations on a Riemannian surface," *Proc. London Math. Soc.,* Vol. 55, (1987) 59 – 126.

[13] S. Ishihara, "Quaternion Kählerian manifolds," *J. Diff. Geom.,* Vol. 9 (1974) 483–500.

[14] Y. Kamishima, "Geometric rigidity of spherical hypersurfaces in quaternionic manifolds," *Asian J. Math.*, Vol. 3, No.3 (1999) 519– 556

[15] Y. Kamishima, "Geometric flows on compact manifolds and Global rigidity," *Topology,*@Vol. 35 (1996) 439–450.

[16] Y.Kamishima and T. Udono, "Three dimensional Lie group actions on compact $(4n+3)$-dimensional geometric manifolds" *preprint*

[17] T. Kashiwada, "On a contact metric structure," *Math. Z.*, Vol. 238, (2001) 829 – 832.

[18] S. Kobayashi, 'Transformation groups in differential geometry', Ergebnisse der Math. 70, Springer Verlag, 1972.

[19] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, 'Foundations of differential geometry I,II,' *Interscience John Wiley & Sons, New York*, 1969.

[20] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, 'Characteristic Classes,' *Ann. of Math. Studies*, Vol. 76 (1974). Princeton Univ. Press.

[21] R. Kulkarni, "On the principle of uniformization," *J. Diff. Geom.,* Vol. 13 (1978) 109-138.

[22] R. Kulkarni, "Proper actions and pseudo-Riemannian space forms," *Advances in Math.,* Vol. 40 (1981) 10-51.

[23] C. Lebrun, "Fano manifolds, contact structures, and quaternionic geometry," *Int. J. Math.* Vol. 3 (1995) 419-437.

[24] J. Lelong-Ferrand, *Transformations conformes et quasi conformes des variétés riemanniennes compactes*, Acad. Roy. Belgique Sci. Mem. Coll., Vol. 8 (1971), 1-44.

[25] P. Libermann, "Sur les structures presque complexes et autres structures infinitésimales régulières," *Bull. Soc. Math., France* Vol. 83 (1955) 195-224.

[26] M. Obata, *The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom., Vol. 6 (1971), 247-258.

[27] R. S. Palais, 'A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups,' *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol 22 (1957).

[28] S. Sasaki, "Spherical space forms with normal contact metric 3-structure," *J. Diff. Geom.*, Vol. 6 (1972) 307–315.

[29] S. Tanno, "Killing vector fields on contact Riemannian manifolds and fibering related to the Hopf fibrations," *Tôhoku Math. Jour.*, Vol. 23 (1971) 313–333.

[30] S. Tanno, "Remarks on a triple of K-contact structures," *Tôhoku Math. Jour.*, Vol. 48 (1996) 519–531.

[31] S. Webster, "Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real hypersurface," *J. Diff. Geom.*, vol. 13 (1978) 25–41.

[32] J. Wolf, 'Spaces of constant curvature,' *McGraw-Hill, Inc.*, 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HULL UNIVERSITY, GEN. COTTINGHAM ROAD HU6 7RX, HULL, ENGLAND
E-mail address: `d.v.alekseevsky@maths.hull.ac.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY,MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1,HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN
E-mail address: `kami@comp.metro-u.ac.jp`